```
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
            FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 3
                  CHARLOTTESVILLE DIVISION
 4
    ****
 5
    NICOLE P. ERAMO,
                                * CIVIL ACTION 3:15-CV-00023
                               * OCTOBER 25, 2016
 6
          Plaintiff,
                               * JURY TRIAL, Vol. 1
 7
    VS.
    ROLLING STONE, LLC,
    SABRINA RUBIN ERDELY,
    WENNER MEDIA, LLC,
                               * Before:
                               * HONORABLE GLEN E. CONRAD
10
          Defendants.
                               * UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
                                * WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
    *******
11
12
    APPEARANCES:
13
    For the Plaintiff:
                           THOMAS ARTHUR CLARE, ESQUIRE
                           ELIZABETH MARIE LOCKE, ESQUIRE
14
                           ANDREW CLAY PHILLIPS, ESQUIRE
                           JOSEPH R. OLIVERI, ESQUIRE
15
                           Clare Locke, LLP
                           902 Prince Street
16
                           Alexandria, VA 22314
17
    For the Defendants:
                          ELIZABETH ANNE McNAMARA, ESQUIRE
18
                           ALISON SCHARY, ESQUIRE
                           Davis Wright Tremaine, LLP
                           1251 Avenue of the Americas, 21st Flr.
19
                           New York, NY 10020
20
2.1
22
    Court Reporter: JoRita B. Meyer, RPR, RMR, CRR
                     210 Franklin Road, S.W.
23
                     Roanoke, Virginia 24011
                     540.857.5100, Ext. 5311
24
              Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography;
25
     transcript produced by computer.
```

```
1
     APPEARANCES (Continued):
 2
     For the Defendants:
                            W. DAVID PAXTON, ESQUIRE
                             J. SCOTT SEXTON, ESQUIRE
 3
                             MICHAEL FINNEY, ESQUIRE
                             CHARLES H. SMITH, ESQUIRE
 4
                             Gentry Locke Rakes & Moore
                             P. O. Box 40013
 5
                             Roanoke, VA 24022
 6
     ///
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
2
               EXAMINATION
3
   WITNESS: ELISABETH GARBER-PAUL (CONTINUED)
   CROSS EXAMINATION
4
                         PAGE
    By Ms. McNamara.....4
5
6
7
8
                EXHIBITS
9
   PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL EXHIBITS
10
                          ADMITTED
11
   (NONE)
12
13
14
   DEFENDANTS' TRIAL EXHIBITS
15
                          ADMITTED
   16
17
   18
   19
20
   ///
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
(8:00 a.m.)
 1
 2
     (Jury in)
 3
     (Open court as follows:)
               THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Ten jurors in
 4
 5
     the jury box ready for day eight.
               I received a note yesterday afternoon from one of
 6
 7
     you about the scheduling. And I took this issue up with
     counsel at the end of the day yesterday. And I'm happy to
 8
     report that we're going to be able to finish by 5:30 on
 9
10
     Friday. I know one of you had some conflict, and I wanted to
11
     make sure that we finished no later than 5:30. We're going to
12
     certainly try to do that and get you on your way a little bit
1.3
     earlier that day.
14
               As you recall, when we finished yesterday afternoon,
15
     we were ready for the cross-examination of the witness,
16
     Garber-Paul. And we'll proceed with that at this time.
17
               Since a new day has dawned, we'll have the witness
18
     again sworn.
19
               ELISABETH GARBER-PAUL, called as a witness, having
20
     been duly sworn, was examined and testified further as
2.1
     follows:
2.2
               CROSS EXAMINATION
23
    BY MS. MCNAMARA:
24
          Good morning, Ms. Garber-Paul.
25
          Good morning.
     Α
```

- 1 Q I know you know me; Elizabeth McNamara. You have
- 2 answered a number of questions for Mr. Phillips. And I wanted
- 3 to -- by way of beginning, to get to really the bottom of one
- 4 of the issues here.
- 5 At the time that you published the article "A Rape on
- 6 Campus," did you have any doubts about its accuracy?
- 7 A Absolutely not.
- 8 Q Did you believe it to be true?
- 9 A Yes, I did.
- 10 Q And would you say the same answer with regard to any
- 11 statements concerning Ms. Eramo, the plaintiff in this action?
- 12 A Yes. I believed them all to be true.
- 13 Q And would you say the same with regard to any statements
- 14 | about UVa?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And the same with regard to Jackie's story. Did you
- 17 believe that to be true at the time the article was published?
- 18 A Yes. When we went to press, I believed it all to be
- 19 true.
- 20 Q And did there come a time when you learned that
- 21 | Ms. Erdely had lost faith in Jackie's story or Jackie?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 O And when was that?
- 24 A That was the morning of December 5, 2014.
- 25 Q And what was your reaction?

- 1 A Shock and devastation and confusion.
- 2 Q And then what, if anything, happened after that?
- 3 A The next few days were a bit of a blur, but there was a
- 4 | retraction, I believe, of all of Jackie's statements from the
- 5 article.
- 6 Q And did you have any participation in the decision-making
- 7 or publication of the retraction on December 5?
- 8 A I was asked to look over it to make sure that the way we
- 9 described the article in the retraction was accurate, though I
- 10 | did not have any decision-making in issuing it.
- 11 Q And what was your understanding with regard to what the
- 12 | magazine was intending to retract on December 5?
- 13 A It was my understanding that we were retracting
- 14 | everything that we had based on Jackie's -- Jackie's
- 15 statements.
- 16 Q And what, if any, personal impact did the revelation that
- 17 | Sabrina had lost faith in Jackie have for you?
- 18 A Like I said, I was devastated. I wasn't sure what to
- 19 believe at that point. I had spent a long time talking with
- 20 | Jackie and going through her story and making sure everything
- 21 had been accurate as we understood it.
- 22 And to have that all fall apart that way was -- it was
- 23 incredibly traumatic for me.
- 24 Q And when you said that it was your understanding when
- 25 Rolling Stone published the retraction on December 5 that it

1 retracted all information in the article that came from 2 Jackie --3 Is that correct? 4 Yes. -- did that include the statement about Ms. Eramo that 5 6 she had discouraged Jackie from telling her story? 7 Α Yes, it did. And the statement from Ms. Eramo about the rape school? 8 9 You mean the statement from -- yes. 10 Yes. I'm sorry. I misspoke. You need to correct me 11 because I will misspeak. 12 The statement that was attributed to Ms. Eramo about the 13 rape school. 14 Yes. 15 You understood that the December 5 retraction was 16 retracting that? 17 Yes, I did. Α 18 And the same with regard to the description of 19 Ms. Eramo's reaction when Jackie told her about the two other 20 women, you understood that the magazine was retracting that as 21 well? 22 Yes. 23 Now, let's step back for a moment and tell the jury about 24 who you are and where you came from. Where did you grow up? 25 I grew up in Chicago.

- 1 | Q And did you stay there until you moved to New York?
- 2 A No. I moved to Princeton briefly and then to New York.
- 3 Q Is it fair to say one of your parents was an academic?
- 4 A Yes. My father. He worked at the University of Chicago
- 5 | when I was growing up and then went to Princeton in 2002.
- 6 Q And what is his field?
- 7 A He's a professor of the history of philosophy.
- 8 Q And how about your mother? Does she work?
- 9 A Yes. She's a licensed clinical social worker whose work
- 10 primarily deals with abused children and sexually abused
- 11 children.
- 12 Q And did that have any impact on the fact-checking of this
- 13 | article? Did you have any discussions with your mother?
- 14 A Yes, I did. I spoke to her at length about Jackie's
- 15 story as I was fact-checking and after the fact-checking of it
- 16 because I respected her opinion on how trauma affects sexually
- 17 abused young people.
- 18 Q Now, Mr. Phillips had established that you're currently
- 19 the culture editor for RollingStone.com, and that occurred in
- 20 | 2006; is that right?
- 21 A 2016.
- 22 Q Yes. Thank you. Again, I told you you need to correct
- 23 me.
- 24 And prior to that, you were a fact-checker at Rolling
- 25 Stone for a number of years; is that right?

- 1 A Yes. For about six years.
- 2 Q And part of that was when you were on staff, and part of
- 3 that was when you were doing freelance?
- 4 | A Yeah. I was hired as a freelance fact-checker in 2010
- 5 and then was hired full-time in 2013.
- 6 Q And what did you do before becoming a fact-checker at
- 7 | Rolling Stone?
- 8 A I was an intern at The Nation magazine. And before that,
- 9 I was in college.
- 10 Q And when you were an intern at The Nation magazine, you
- 11 engaged in fact-checking?
- 12 A Yes. That was primarily my duty there.
- 13 Q And were you trained there to do fact-checking?
- 14 A Yes, that's right.
- 15 O And how were you trained?
- 16 A We were, you know, walked through the process of
- 17 separating fact from opinion and making sure that all of the
- 18 facts were backed up with solid sources and making sure that
- 19 the opinions lined up with the facts that were presented in
- 20 the article as well.
- 21 Q During your career of fact-checking over several years,
- 22 approximately how many articles would you say you
- 23 fact-checked?
- 24 A I tried to look this number up before, but I would place
- 25 it in the hundreds.

And while at Rolling Stone, can you tell us your role for 1 2 fact-checking? What did you do? How did you, on a day-to-day 3 basis, go about your job? Well, we worked on a two-week cycle. So every other 4 5 Monday, I'd get an assignment from the head of the 6 fact-checking department. And I would start by asking the 7 writer for backup, which was all the transcripts, notes, 8 articles that they might have used in doing background research, any pertinent e-mails, documents, anything that they 9 10 could provide. And then I would spend the next week and a 11 half to two weeks working through all those documents, working 12 through the article, like I said, separating opinion from --1.3 from straight facts, and making sure that we had sufficient 14 backup for the facts and there were sufficient facts to back 15 up all the opinions. 16 And if you had to identify the mission of the Rolling 17 Stone fact-checking department, how would you characterize it? 18 To make sure that everything was as accurate as possible by the time we went to press. 19 20 And in your years of performing fact-checking at Rolling 2.1 Stone, did you believe that they took that very seriously? 2.2 Yeah. Absolutely. 23 And did you see Rolling Stone's approach to fact-checking the same or different as other publications where you have 24 25 done fact-checking?

- 1 | A It was just as rigorous, if not more.
- 2 | Q And you had not just done fact-checking before Rolling
- 3 | Stone and The Nation; you had worked by freelance at a number
- 4 of other magazines as well. Isn't that right?
- 5 A Yeah. When I was freelancing from 2010 to 2013, I worked
- 6 at New York magazine and at Health magazine doing
- 7 fact-checking for them as well.
- 8 Q And so the conclusion that you just stated that Rolling
- 9 Stone took it as seriously, if not more so, was based upon
- 10 your experience at a number of national magazines; is that
- 11 right?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Now, Mr. Phillips had asked you about the fact that
- 14 Rolling Stone had no written fact-checking policies. Do you
- 15 recall that?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Was Rolling Stone different in that regard than other
- 18 | magazines that you had worked at?
- 19 A Not in my experience, no.
- 20 Q And as we've established, those magazines were national
- 21 | magazines as well; is that right?
- 22 A New York might be considered a regional magazine, but
- 23 | it's got a very large readership and it takes its work very
- 24 seriously.
- 25 Q And did you have any understanding as to the reason why

Rolling Stone and/or other magazines did not have written 1 2 policies, rules regarding fact-checking? 3 Because there's so many different things that come up, depending on the specifics of an article, that I think it was 4 5 just, the moment you wrote anything down, you'd have to update 6 it and change it based on something new that came in. 7 So it's fair to say that it's fact-determined? 8 Yes, absolutely. And there could be many variables that go into the 9 decision on whether you use a pseudonym or whether you have a 10 11 second source or whether this particular document is 12 sufficient to back up a fact; is that fair to say? 1.3 Absolutely. 14 And you would take all those variables into consideration 15 in making a judgment on whether you were comfortable with the 16 accuracy of information in an article; is that correct? 17 Yes. And in conversation with the editors involved. 18 So please tell the jury how you as a fact-checker at 19 Rolling Stone would go about fact-checking an article 20 generally. 2.1 Well, I would, like I said, divide -- you know, go 22 through, make sure I knew what the sourcing was for all the 23 different parts of the article. And then I would go about 24 scheduling phone calls with the main sources so that not only 25 did I have the interviews that they would have done with the

writer of the article, I would also be able to ask any follow-up questions.

Sometimes when you're reading a transcript, it's hard to kind of parse what someone means. So having an actual phone call with people, you know, really helps straighten things out a lot of the time.

And then I would also go through and determine that we weren't saying things that were vastly different than what other publications had said, to make sure that our conclusions that we were drawing were in line with what other publications had drawn.

And, yeah, I would go about talking to everyone I could and reading through everything I could, then making sure -- you know, checking off that's what you said, on these scribbled-through drafts, go through line by line and word by word and made sure I was comfortable with every letter in the story.

And then, after each round of fact-checking, go sit down with my editor and bring up all my questions, all my suggestions, sometimes just suggested edits where I thought it might sound better if we put in, you know, different wording, and have them sign off on everything.

And then go through it with the copy department, get that all in the system, and get the next round out for all of us to read and proof and -- until we were completely comfortable

Eramo v. Rolling Stone, et al., 10-25-16, Vol 1

with it. And then we'd send it to press. 1 2 And is it fair to say that it's a collaborative process? 3 You worked with the writer, you worked with the editor, you worked with the copy editors; is that correct? 4 5 Absolutely. And at the end of the day, have you ever signed off on 6 7 the publication of an article that you had any doubts about the accuracy of the information in the article? 8 9 No. Never. 10 If you have a question about anything in the article --11 and we've seen questions that were raised by Mr. Phillips --12 or notes or thoughts or comments, how do you address those? 1.3 I start by talking to the writer, not because I want to give them full control over it, just because I know that when 14 15 I go to the editor, they're going to ask me what the writer 16 thinks about that. And I want to be fully prepared for that 17 meeting. So I would start by having a conversation with the 18 writer, go through all the questions I had with them, and get 19 their opinion on stuff, because I know they've been working on 20 this material for a lot longer than I have. So I respect 21 22 their opinion on it. 23 And then, taking all that information, my research, their 24 opinions, go in and talk to the editor and spend, often, hours 25 in there, kind of going through each of my tiny little

questions and suggested changes until we were both comfortable 1 2 with everything that we had determined. 3 And was it your practice -- you had worked extensively with Mr. Woods; is that fair to say? 5 Yes. And was it your practice to bring an issue to Mr. Woods, 6 7 have him rule on it in effect, and then you'd just passively go back to implement it? 8 9 No. 10 And so tell me how that interaction would work. 11 Sean and I had worked together for a long time. I knew 12 that he had started as a fact-checker as well. And he always, 1.3 from my first week on the job, had nothing but respect for my 14 opinions and my research. And I very much appreciated that. 15 And so we would often spend, you know, upwards of two 16 hours sitting in his office, you know, bickering over all the 17 little details that -- you know, down to the wording of 18 sentences and where a "said" should go in a sentence or -- and 19 he would never just rule on something. We would have 20 conversations about it and really parse things out until I 21 felt like my concerns were heard and he felt like it was, you 22 know, as accurate as it could be and, you know, still within 23 the Rolling Stone voice. 24 If you ever had occasion to disagree with Mr. Woods or 25 another editor at Rolling Stone, did you have the ability to

elevate that concern above them? 1 2 Yes. My boss, the head of the research department, she 3 was very much an advocate for us. So, you know, if there was anything that I was really worried about, she would -- she 4 would come in and talk to us. And we could also escalate that 5 to the managing editor, who would sometimes get involved. 6 7 And during your fact-checking of "A Rape on Campus," did you at any time elevate a concern you had with the accuracy of 8 the article to either Coco or the managing editor? 9 10 No, I did not. 11 And that was because you were comfortable with the 12 decisions that you and Mr. Woods had made concerning the 13 accuracy of the article? 14 Yes. 15 Are you aware -- besides this fun event for you, are you 16 aware of any other lawsuits on any articles that you have 17 fact-checked while you worked at Rolling Stone? 18 No. Now, let's turn to the article and your fact-checking of 19 20 the article per se. We've established that you were the 21 fact-checker on the article, and you have spoken a little bit 22 about your previous working relationship with Mr. Woods. 23 Could you make a sense of, like, how many articles you've 24 worked with Mr. Woods on fact-checking.

Definitely dozens. There have been many features

25

Yes.

that we've worked on together. And then also just, you know, 1 2 smaller -- you know, smaller -- what we call front-of-the-book 3 stuff. That's, like, the little news items that we do, the TV 4 page, things like that. We work together, you know, every 5 day, at least 40 hours a week. Had you ever worked with Sabrina Rubin Erdely in your 6 7 role as a fact-checker before this article? We had worked together on one other feature that she did. 8 9 And what was your impression of Ms. Erdely? 10 I was impressed by how much reporting she did on her 11 articles. Sometimes writers are not as organized and dogged 12 as she is. And I was really impressed by that and really appreciated that, because somebody coming to you with that 1.3 14 much information and backup and interviews and who really 15 cared about the subject she was writing about, you don't always encounter that with feature writers. 16 17 And I believe with Mr. Phillips you had indicated you spent approximately 80 hours fact-checking "A Rape on Campus"; 18 19 is that correct? 20 Yes, that's right. 2.1 And when you worked at Rolling Stone, did you fill out 22 time sheets for your fact-checking? 23 Α Yes, we did. 24 Let me show you what has been marked as Defendants' 142. 25 Ms. Garber-Paul, can you identify the document that I've

Eramo v. Rolling Stone, et al., 10-25-16, Vol 1

put in front of you? 1 2 These are my time sheets. 3 MS. McNAMARA: We would move these into the record, 4 please. THE COURT: 67, without objection. 5 (Defendants' Trial Exhibit 67 admitted) 6 7 BY MS. McNAMARA: And are these time sheets accurate, to your knowledge? 8 9 Yes, they are. 10 Now, you've undergone a lot of questions from 11 Mr. Phillips about your fact-checking of this article and very 12 specific focused moments or questions or issues that you 1.3 raised. I would like to step back, and you can tell the jury how 14 15 you went about fact-checking this article, what you did, in 16 what order, as you recall. 17 Sure. I started by reading the article, scanning through the 18 backup. I mean, there was hundreds of pages, so I didn't sit 19 20 there and read it, you know, linearly. But I read through the article carefully and had a conversation with Sabrina. And 2.1 started by, you know, that day, e-mailing Jackie and setting 22 up a time that we could start talking. 23 24 So we had started that Tuesday. And we started by going 25 through all the details and everything that didn't have to do

1.3

2.1

specifically with her sexual assault. We went through the background of where she was from, who -- you know, what brought her to the University of Virginia, kind of what -- some of the aftermath of the sexual assault, but, overall, the less traumatic parts of her story. Because I wanted her to start to trust me and, you know, build a rapport with her so that she didn't feel like I was jumping in and asking her these really personal questions just kind of off the bat. I wanted her to get to know me a little bit too.

And so we did that. And then, the following day, I just

And so we did that. And then, the following day, I just spent a little bit more time with the backup and tried to kind of figure out who all the people in the article were and who is going to be important to talk to. And I also really dove in -- I mean, you know, the stuff we were saying about the University of Virginia was very serious. And I wanted to make sure that I gave that enough attention too, to, you know, make sure that I got their processes correct and went through all of that information.

So I started looking at the questions I knew I was going to ask UVa. I had been told early on that everything should go through UVa PR, which is pretty standard for when you're working with a big organization like that. They want to, you know, know where everything is going. So I thought that was understandable.

So I started working on those questions. And then that

Thursday, that's when I talked to Jackie about the sexual 1 2 assault itself. And --3 And I'm going to get into that in more detail. So you don't have to go chapter and verse. 4 Here. Actually, I'm going to look at my notes real 5 6 quick. 7 So that was -- so then I also started working with the 8 other women -- the other young women that had been going to UVa, and setting up phone calls with them, because I really 9 10 wanted to know what her friends had to say and what the other 11 student activists on campus had to say. 12 So I set up phone calls with them and started talking 1.3 with them over the weekend as well, just talking to them on the phone, because I know, students, sometimes it's hard to 14 15 pin them down in the middle of the week. Sometimes it's hard 16 to pin them down on the weekend, too, but we managed. 17 And I also spent a long time kind of reading through 18 other accounts of similar stories that had been published, not 19 necessarily as long and as involved, but just other kind of 20 reporting that had been going on about sexual assault cases, 21 specifically on campuses. 22 In 2014, there was kind of starting to be a lot of 23 reporting on this. And I wanted, like I said, to make sure 24 that what we were -- the conclusions we were coming to were 25

not something that -- that other publications hadn't come to

1 as well. 2 Ms. Garber-Paul, you were beginning to look at, you said, 3 your notes. And you were looking at the document that has been in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 153. And I believe we 4 established yesterday that this was the first draft of the 5 article you were working on; is that correct? 6 7 This is the article that was sent to me by Sean 8 Woods on that Monday. Can you explain -- if you'd look even at the first page 9 10 of this document, there's a lot of squiggles through each 11 line. There's green. There's yellow. There's red comments. 12 I understand you liked a lot of colored pens. Is that fair to 1.3 say? 14 It helps me kind of separate who I need to ask what. 15 Using color-coding helps me break down the article, because 16 you can't sit on the phone and, you know, read the whole article to every source. You'll never get anywhere. So you 17 18 kind of have to go through and decide who you're going to ask 19 what. And every fact-checker has their own system. But I 20 personally find using different highlighters to correspond with different sources to be helpful. 2.1 22 So if you recall correctly, looking again at Plaintiff's 23 Exhibit 153, what signified something in yellow versus 24 something in green? 25 These are actually both signifying Jackie in this round.

But the yellow signified the stuff that I knew was going 1 2 to be a little more difficult, so I was kind of holding for a 3 second phone call. And the green signified stuff that I thought, you know, she might be able to talk about with a 4 5 little bit less trouble. And then the orange signified stuff that I knew that I 6 7 could get on my own from other sources or just from, you know, reading things about UVa on the internet and not from Jackie. 8 And in the first paragraph on the first page of 9 10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 153, I note, for example, you strike 11 through with lines, horizontal lines -- rather, vertical lines, "Phi Kappa Psi, Jackie." 12 1.3 What does that signify, if anything? 14 That signifies that those are things that I felt 15 comfortable with and we moved forward with. 16 Now, yesterday, Mr. Phillips asked a number of questions 17 about comments in red that you wrote on the side. 18 Can you explain generally what comments in red on the 19 side signify to you. 20 These were notes that I was taking while I was on the 21 phone with Jackie. So they're not all things that I 22 necessarily needed to change; they were just kind of notes I 23 was taking as I went, to make sure that I didn't forget 24 anything that she was telling me. 25 And I believe you've already indicated that, with regard

to the first draft of "A Rape on Campus," you largely worked 1 with Jackie on this draft. Is that fair to say? 2 3 Yes, that's true. Did you speak with other sources with regard to this 4 5 first draft that you can recall? Not so much on this draft, though I did go back and talk 6 7 with other sources about some stuff in it and go through the 8 backup documents to help confirm some of the things in here. A lot of what's in here is just what I did on the phone with 9 10 Jackie. But then I would go back and kind of look at some of 11 these statements and issues again going forward through the 12 other drafts. 1.3 And let's turn your attention to Plaintiff's Exhibit 154, 14 which is the Round 1 of the article. 15 Do you have that in front of you? Uh-huh. 16 17 And explain again what Round 1 means and what a galley 18 is. 19 Sure. Round 1 -- a Round 1 galley is after we take this 20 very large Word document and make it fit into the layout that 21 the art department has created for it. That's something that 22 largely happens outside of the fact-checker's domain. That's 23 more of the job of the editor. 24 So that was something Sean, in my understanding, had 25 worked on that week to make sure that we could get -- you

1 know, compare it together and a sufficient draft of the -- the 2 draft of the story into this layout. So this is basically a 3 markup of what we were going to be publishing in the magazine. If you would direct your attention to the page that's 4 5 Bates-stamped on the bottom RS4866 in this Round 1. Do you see on this page there's a number of passages that 6 7 are highlighted in pink? 8 Yes. What, if anything, does that signify to you? 9 10 These are things that I wanted to ask the UVa press 11 department about. 12 And so in the graph that begins, "If Dean Eramo was 1.3 surprised at Jackie's story," do you see that graph? 14 Yes. 15 It indicates that "Eramo surely had one of the most 16 difficult jobs at UVa because she -- because, as the intake 17 person on behalf of the university for all sexual assault 18 complaints since 2007, it's her job to deal with the parade of 19 sobbing students trekking in and out of her office. UVa 20 declined to make Eramo available for comment." 2.1 Do you see that? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 And what did you do to fact-check that information in the 24 article? 25 I had a conversation with Anthony de Bruyn and a series

of e-mails back and forth, that I think we talked about 1 2 yesterday as well, talking about Dean Eramo's role in the 3 university, you know, what her official role was and kind of what her involvement was with, you know, working with students 4 who came forward to say they'd been sexually assaulted. 5 And did Mr. de Bruyn, on behalf of the university, 6 7 correct the 2007? 8 Yes. And so because he corrected and changed it to 2006, 9 10 that's what ultimately got published; is that right? 11 Α Yes, I believe so. 12 And in your conversation with him, did he confirm that 1.3 UVa had declined to make Eramo available for comment? 14 Yes, he did. 15 And when you were speaking with Mr. Phillips, I believe you had indicated, but if you would please explain to me and 16 17 the jury, what were the ground rules set for you when you 18 began your discussions with Mr. de Bruyn from UVa? 19 Well, he said, because of student privacy, that he wasn't 20 going to answer any questions about -- about specific 2.1 students' cases. And I understood that probably lined up with 22 student privacy policies at most universities. He also said 23 that any questions I had about administrators or employees of 24 UVa should go through him as well. 25 So based upon those ground rules, you understood that you

1 could not or should not e-mail directly Ms. Eramo, for 2 example? 3 Yes, that was my understanding. And he had already confirmed that Ms. Eramo was not 4 available for comment; isn't that right? 5 6 Yes. 7 And you also understood that he was not going to answer questions about specific events that involved a student 8 9 dealing with Dean Eramo concerning his or her sexual assault; 10 is that right? 11 Α Yes. 12 Now, turn your attention to the type final, which is 1.3 Plaintiff's Exhibit 155, and explain, what does a "type final" 14 mean? 15 It's essentially a Round 2. It's the same thing as the 16 Round 1 that we just looked at but incorporates all of my fact 17 changes, any wording changes that the writer might have 18 suggested, any edit changes that the editor might having 19 suggested. It's supposed to be very close to what the final 20 is going to look like in the magazine. 2.1 And I note that if you page through the type final, every 22 sentence in the article has a squiggle through it. Is that 23 right? 24 That's true. 25 And what does that indicate to you?

1 That means that I'm comfortable with it and that -- that 2 I feel that it's been sufficiently checked. 3 So when you include a squiggle through a line, that is you've basically signed off on that statement; is that right? 5 Yes. So all three of these drafts have a lot of squiggles, a 6 7 lot of comments, a lot of coloring. Was that unusual for a feature article? 8 9 Not at all. 10 Now, before focusing on the passages at issue in this 11 litigation, by way of example, what did you do to fact-check 12 the information in the left column concerning expulsions at 1.3 UVa for honor code violations? And that's at page 4854. 4854? Oh, in the --14 15 In the left column, about three-quarters of the way down in the bottom of the graph that begins, "Wahoos are enthralled 16 17 to be at UVa." 18 So this sentence that says "UVa's emphasis on honor 19 code"? 20 Correct. For this, I had -- in my conversation with the press 2.1 22 department at UVa, I had discussed this with them initially. 23 Let me -- hold on. Let me just look at my past notes, too, to 24 make sure that I'm saying this correctly.

So this is something that, you know, in my

25

Yes.

conversation with Mr. de Bruyn, he -- there were some things 1 2 that he didn't know off the top of his head, which, again, is 3 totally normal for having a conversation with a press officer at a major institution such as this. 4 So he -- we both took notes on what we needed to come 5 6 back to. And this is something that he was having a hard time 7 answering. So what I asked -- what I normally do in this -in this situation, is I'd ask the writer where they found the 8 information, because I know they didn't make it up. 9 So I believe I asked Sabrina where this came from, and 10 11 she told me that she had found it in a video from the -- the 12 student TV station, I quess, WUVA. So she sent me a link to 1.3 that. And I watched it, and I found this same information 14 that she had told me except there was a mistake with the year. 15 It had said instead of 1993, it was, in fact, 1998. 16 But I wanted to double-check and make sure, since it was 17 a student publication, that they weren't mistaken about the 18 information they had gotten. So I sent Mr. de Bruyn a link to the same video and said, 19 20 you know, "I found this information in this video. Can you 2.1 confirm to me that this is accurate?" 22 And he said, yes, as far as he knows, that is accurate. So I put -- I checked that off. I made sure that the 23 date -- the year was correct, changed it from 1993 to 1998. 24 25 And in my notes I put "WUVA video, confirmed by UVa PR" so

- that I could remember where that came from. 1 2 Now let me show you what has already been put into 3 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 115. Are these the e-mails, Ms. Garber-Paul, between you and Mr. de Bruyn at the 4 5 University of Virginia? 6 Yes, they are. 7 And you note, if you turn your attention to the page 8 that's Bates-stamped RS13354, in the middle of the page, it 9 indicates that -- is this where you had just told us about 10 sending a link to the WUVA video to Mr. de Bruyn? 11 Α Yes. 12 And this is where he confirmed that it was accurate that 1.3 there had been 183 expulsions for honor code violations since 1998 but zero expulsions for sexual assault? 14 15 Α Yes. And you had indicated that, in reviewing this information 16 17 for its factual accuracy, you had watched the WUVA video that 18 was available before the publication of the article; is that 19 right? 20 Yes, I had. 2.1 And what impression, if any, did you form concerning --22 let me back up. Do you recall that Ms. Eramo appeared in a video?
- 23
- 24 Yes, she did.
- 25 And what impression, if any, did you form concerning

Ms. Eramo from watching that video? 1 2 She seemed serious and concerned about the problem, and, 3 you know, she sounded like she wanted to -- she took these issues very seriously. 4 It was just a small clip of her. It wasn't a full video 5 6 of her. But, you know, that's part of what I used to confirm 7 the no-nonsense statement in the article, because she did seem to really have that demeanor, which I thought was appropriate 8 for an officer of the university. 10 Now, you were asked a lot of guestions yesterday about 11 Jackie, her credibility, your reliance or decision to not 12 obtain comment from Drew, your inability or the magazine's 1.3 inability to get further comment or comment from the three 14 friends. 15 So I want to step back and talk to you in more depth about your interactions with Jackie and your assessment as to 16 17 the credibility of her and her story. 18 Now, you said that you initially had two long conversations with Jackie at the beginning of your 19 fact-checking process; is that right? 20 2.1 Yes, that's true. 2.2 And then you had a number of follow-up smaller 23 conversations? Yeah. I can't even remember how many. 24 25 And you indicated that those initial conversations with

her lasted about four hours; is that right? 1 2 Yeah, they lasted about two hours each. 3 And in those initial conversations, you were working with the galley -- or the first draft of the article, not the 5 galley. Is that right? 6 Yes, that's true. 7 And she confirmed all the information surrounding her background, her interactions with UVa, as well as the details 8 of her story in those conversations; is that right? 10 Yes. She went through them with me in detail and 11 corrected me where I was mistaken, and -- yeah, she went 12 through them in great detail with me. 1.3 When she confirmed the details about her sexual assault 14 with you, did she ever indicate that she didn't want those 15 details in the article? 16 No, never. 17 Did she ever indicate or ask for the article not to 18 include the syphilis detail? Is that right? 19 Yes, she did. She asked for that to be taken out, and I 20 thought that -- since it wasn't, you know, directly 21 pertinent to either the sexual assault or, you know, the 22 aftermath of it, that we could respect that. 23 With regard to her sexual assault or any other 24 information surrounding her story, did she ask for any other

information to not be included in the article?

25

1 No. 2 Did you perceive her, for the hours of talking to her, as 3 a willing participant in the publication of her story in the article? 4 5 Yes, absolutely. Did you have a conversation with her about the use of her 6 7 name in the article? Yes. She said she was comfortable with us using her 8 first name in the article. 10 And what, if any, confidence did that give you concerning 11 Jackie's story? 12 Well, I knew that she wasn't trying to, you know, hide 1.3 behind a fake name. She knew that people on campus were going 14 to know who she was, and she was going to stand up and accept 15 that. And she felt like this was an important enough story 16 and an important enough issue that she really -- she wanted 17 to, you know, let us use her first name. She didn't 18 necessarily want to have her last name in there so that the 19 general public, the general internet, wouldn't be able to 20 track her down so easily. 21 But it was my understanding that she was totally comfortable in having her peers know that she was -- she was 22 23 the Jackie in this article. 24 And did that give you a degree of confidence in the 25 accuracy of her story?

Yes, it did. 1 2 Now, you had a lot of questions from Mr. Phillips 3 yesterday about the attribution of Jackie's story. Do you recall those? 4 5 Yes. And you, I believe, indicated yesterday that you believed 6 7 that the opening passages of the article were clearly in Jackie's voice; is that correct? 8 9 Yes. So let's look at -- I think this may be easiest if we 10 11 look at the Round 1 iteration of the document and the opening 12 passages. 1.3 Jackie's description of the sexual assault really begins in detail with the paragraph that begins "Jackie had taken two 14 15 hours getting ready." 16 Do you see that? 17 Α Yes. 18 And do you see that in -- towards the end of that 19 paragraph, it indicates, "At that same moment she says she 20 detected movement in the room and felt someone bumping into 2.1 her. Jackie began to scream." 22 Do you see that? 23 Α Yes. 24 Now, attribution is -- what does that mean to you? 25 It means letting a reader know where information comes

```
1
     from.
 2
          And when you say something like "she says," does that
 3
     indicate to the reader that this is coming from Jackie?
 4
          Yes.
          And so in the next passage when it begins, "'Shut up,'
 5
     she heard a man's voice say as a body barreled into her," do
 7
    you see that?
 8
          Yes.
 9
         And again, the "she heard" --
10
         Yes.
11
          -- is that an indication to the reader that this is
12
    coming from Jackie?
13
          Yes, I believe it is.
          And further down in that paragraph, there is a sentence
14
15
    that begins "For a hopeful moment."
16
          Do you see that?
17
    Α
          Yes.
          And it goes on to say, "Jackie wondered if this wasn't
18
19
     some collegiate prank."
20
          Do you see that?
21
    Α
          Yes.
22
          And by indicating the "Jackie wondered," was that another
23
     communication to the reader that this was Jackie's story?
24
          Yes, I believe it is.
25
          And then the next paragraph begins with -- and excuse
```

```
me -- "'Grab its motherfucking leg,' she heard a voice say."
 1
 2
          Do you see that?
 3
     Α
          Yes.
          And then the next sentence begins "She remembers every
 4
 5
     moment."
          Do you see that?
 6
 7
    Α
          Yes.
 8
          And then a couple sentences later it says, "She remembers
 9
     how the spectators swigged from beers."
10
          Do you see that?
11
    Α
          Yes.
          And then the next-to-the-last sentence says, "She
12
13
     remembers the boys' heft."
14
          Do you see that?
15
    Α
        Yes.
16
          And further down in the next paragraph that begins, "When
     Jackie came to, she was alone."
17
18
          Do you see that paragraph?
19
    Α
         Yes.
20
          About three-quarters of the way down, it indicates,
21
     "Jackie recalls her friend Randall demanding. Jackie shook
22
     her head and began to cry."
23
    Α
          Yes.
24
          And this is the passage, this is the segment where the
25
     three friends are introduced and her interaction with them
```

1 immediately after she left the Phi Psi house; is that right? 2 Yes, it is. 3 And, again, at the outset of that -- of that section, it 4 indicates that Jackie -- we're talking about what Jackie 5 recalls; is that correct? 6 Yes. 7 And then in the next paragraph, in the second sentence, it again says, "'Is that such a good idea?' she recalls." 8 9 Do you see that? 10 Yes. 11 And then at the end of that paragraph it says, "Detached, 12 Jackie listened as Cindy prevailed over the group." 1.3 Do you see that? 14 Yes. 15 Now, when you engage in attribution like this, is it the 16 practice, in your experience with working with hundreds of 17 articles, that you would have in every sentence the "she recalls," "she says," or words to that effect? 18 19 Α No. 20 And why would you not do that? 2.1 Because it would become very difficult for a reader to 22 get through it. 23 It would be clunky? 24 It would -- yes, exactly. Yeah, it would be really 25 clunky. It would be -- it would just be really hard to read

if you did that every single sentence. So you do it where you 1 2 think it makes the most sense so that a reader can understand 3 what's going on without you having to knock them over the head with it. 4 5 So when you get to the next passage that follows the series of "she remembers," "she recalls," and that begins, you 6 7 know, "Jackie is worried about what might happen to her once this article comes out" --8 9 Uh-huh. 10 -- in your discussion with Mr. Woods about the 11 attribution in this paragraph, do you recall what you 12 discussed on whether you believed or he believed or you 1.3 collectively believed that, as reading through this introduction section, that readers would understand that this 14 15 is continuing to be largely in Jackie's voice? You mean the section up to that says "Jackie's worried"? 16 17 The paragraph that begins "Jackie's worried." Yeah, we believed that this was also in her voice. 18 19 Some of these things, you know, are facts that I was able to 20 confirm outside of that, such as Greek life is big, you know, 2.1 how many people are -- participate in the undergraduate 22 community at UVa. But other than that one sentence, really, 23 this all seemed to be directly in Jackie's voice. 24 But it's fair to say that, with regard to the Ryan quote, 25 you had, as was established yesterday, had suggested that this

- 1 should more clearly say that this was coming from Jackie and not directly from Ryan to the magazine; is that right? 2 3 Α Yes. And you and Sean agreed at the time that in context it 4 would be understood to still be coming from Jackie; is that 5 6 correct? 7 Yes. At the time when we went to press, we absolutely believed that it was clear. 8 9 But today you feel a little differently about that 10 decision --11 Α Yeah. 12 -- is that right? 13 In retrospect I agree that we should have made that clearer. 14 15 Now, I want to go over a little more detail and drill down as to why did you believe Jackie and her story. Okay? 16 17 Sure. Α 18 And part of your job as a fact-checker is to assess peoples' credibility; isn't that correct? 19 Yes.
- 20
- 21 I assume there's been times in your job when you've got
- 22 on the phone with somebody and you believed that they were
- 23 dissembling if not outright lying to you?
- I would put it as "spinning," but, yeah, definitely. 24
- 25 And when you were speaking with Jackie and you spent

hours with her, did you have a sense that she was spinning 1 2 facts? 3 No. I had a sense that she was reliving one of the worst moments of her life. 4 And when you went through with her in great detail about 5 her sexual assault at Phi Psi as well as the other information 6 7 in the article, did you get a sense as to kind of her credibility, her accuracy? What was your indication of that? 8 9 Well, when I was going through -- you mean in the -- in 10 the -- the second phone call, when I was --11 You had two very long phone calls. 12 Yeah. 1.3 I'm trying to get a sense from you as to what brought out to you the belief that she was credible and was being accurate 14 15 in what she was telling you? 16 Well, sometimes people will just rush through phone 17 calls, just confirm everything, yes, yes, yes. And Jackie 18 didn't do that. She really wanted to take time to answer questions. And it wasn't just me, you know, reading things to 19 20 her. That's not generally how you work through something like 21 that. 22 So I was asking her kind of open-ended questions, and she was coming back to me with answers that were very similar --23 24 you know, the substance was exactly the same, not necessarily 25 the wording, but the substance was exactly the same as what

she had gone through with Sabrina.

1.3

2.1

And, you know, she stopped to correct me that, you know, she had done horseback riding in middle school but not really in high school. So we came up with different things to replace there.

And she seemed to really care about getting her story absolutely accurate. She was not taking this lightly.

And, you know, four hours for a college student in one week, that's a lot of time to take to go through something that she's already spent hours talking to Sabrina with this. She could just be like, you know, look at the notes. But she didn't. She really -- she cared about making sure that I understood where she was coming from.

And then especially when we were going through the sexual assault, she -- that was a really tough thing for her. And I was asking her really hard questions about, you know, what she remembered.

It's -- it was like she had these snapshots in her head of, you know, exactly what she saw at each minute. There were 360-degree memories, that it wasn't just, yeah, it was like this. She was like she was back there in that moment.

We had to pause a couple times for her to catch her breath and regain composure so we could continue the conversation. And I offered to stop, and she said, "No, let's keep going. Let's get through this."

1 And we spent two hours talking about this awful, awful 2 moment. And it was like she could close her eyes and tell me 3 exactly what she was seeing at every stop. And it convinced me. I trusted her. And I believed what 4 she said. 5 And would you direct your attention, Ms. Garber-Paul, to 6 7 the first draft of the article that you were working with. And we've established this is the one you were looking at when 8 9 you were speaking at length with Jackie; is that right? 10 Yes. 11 And I note that there's little changes here and there to 12 the -- to the -- to her story. You know, like in the first 1.3 draft it had originally said that she didn't want to seem like 14 a goodie-goodie at one of her very first frat parties. 15 Do you see that? 16 Yeah. 17 And you have circled on the side "it was her first." 18 Α Yeah. 19 0 Was that the type of detail she was seeking to correct? 20 Yeah, exactly. 2.1 And I believe there's an indication that, when she talks 22 about getting ready for her date with Drew, and it had said 23 two hours and it got changed to three hours. 24 Do you see all that? 25 I think that's in the next draft during one of her

follow-ups, but yeah -- or maybe it's -- oh, no. There it is. 1 2 And when a source like -- like Jackie is trying to 3 correct details like that, what, if anything, does that signify to you? 4 It signifies that they really care about -- about 5 6 accuracy and about making sure that nothing is -- it signifies 7 to me that they care about the accuracy of the story and that they want to participate in this process and that they care 8 enough to take time to participate in this process with me. 9 If you would turn your attention to page -- of this 10 11 Plaintiff's 153, page RS4847. Do you see in the bottom graph there's some comments and changes? What do you recall about 12 what Jackie was telling you here? 1.3 14 Sure. I recall that, when Jackie had been talking with 15 Sabrina, she had told her that she -- essentially what is 16 originally written here, that "She badly wants to muster the 17 courage to make a formal report to UVa and fantasizes about 18 someday calling the police." 19 This was an example where she had changed her mind. And 20 I thought that was okay and she was entitled to changing her 21 mind about how she wanted to proceed and we should give her 22 the opportunity to clarify with us, because it had been a 23 couple months since I think Sabrina and Jackie had had their 24 sit-downs. 25 And instead of wanting to go through the process with

UVa, she changed her mind to wanting to go through a more 1 2 formal court process. So I updated this to reflect that. 3 So we changed it to -- that she -- that we wanted to file criminal charges or even take the case to civil court. 4 So that's an example of sometimes when a source changes 5 6 their mind on something personal, that it didn't change my 7 view of her credibility that she wanted to now, instead of go 8 through UVa, go through a more formal process. That just meant that she'd probably spent the last two months thinking 9 10 about this quite a bit, especially in the face of knowing that 11 this article was going to come out and, you know, really, 12 really looking more at her options and whatnot. 1.3 So this wasn't an indication that Sabrina had gotten it 14 wrong. As I understand your testimony, it was an indication 15 that Jackie had evolved in her thinking on how she wanted to pursue possible charges concerning her sexual assault; is that 16 17 right? 18 Yeah. And that's something that happens in the 19 fact-checking process. It's -- you know, often, it's a bit of 20 time, you know, either -- several weeks between when a 21 reporter talks to someone and when I talk to someone. So sometimes their thinking changes. And that doesn't 22 23 mean that they're lying to us about something; that just means 24 that, you know, she's a 20-year-old girl who changed her mind. 25 During the hours of conversation that you had with

- Jackie, did you have an impression that she felt pressured to
- 2 cooperate with the fact-checking process?
- 3 A No. She was very willing.
- 4 | Q And did she appear to -- did she try to cut the process
- 5 | short? Did it appear that she was very much involved in it?
- 6 A She was very involved. I mean, I'm sure my phone calls
- 7 got a little annoying towards the end of the process, but that
- 8 | happens to most subjects.
- 9 Q Did she ever tell you, during all of your conversations
- 10 with her, that she wanted to back out of the story?
- 11 A Never.
- 12 Q Or that she didn't want the details of her sexual assault
- 13 | to be included in the article?
- 14 A She never said that to me.
- 15 Q I mean, to the contrary, you went over in meticulous
- 16 detail every one of those horrific details in her sexual
- 17 | assault; isn't that right?
- 18 A Yeah.
- 19 Q And the only detail she asked to be removed was the
- 20 reference to syphilis; is that correct?
- 21 A Yes, that's correct.
- 22 | Q When you spoke with Jackie -- let me back up.
- 23 Before speaking with Jackie, I gather you had sat down
- 24 and read Sabrina's interviews with her; is that right?
- 25 A Yes, I had gone through them.

- 1 Q And when you spoke with Jackie then, did you largely find
- 2 her story to be consistent with Sabrina's notes?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And when you were speaking with Jackie for all these
- 5 | hours, did you consider her motivation in talking with Rolling
- 6 Stone and having her article --
- 7 A Yes, of course I did.
- 8 Q And do you often consider motivations of sources?
- 9 A When it's something this personal or painful, absolutely.
- 10 Q Did you ever get a sense that she wanted retribution
- 11 | against her attackers, that that was the motivation?
- 12 A No. She wouldn't name them to us, so I couldn't imagine
- 13 that that was her motivation for this.
- 14 Q Did you get any kind of sense that she wanted fame or
- 15 | something like that?
- 16 A No. She -- most people around campus had seen -- already
- 17 | had heard her story. She had not been quiet about it. She
- 18 | had spoken out about it at Take Back the Night. And, like I
- 19 | said, her using her first name did mean that people around
- 20 campus would know that this was her story, but by not using
- 21 her last name, it seemed to give her enough anonymity that, as
- 22 | far as her, you know, fame went in a larger sense, this wasn't
- 23 going to give her any of that.
- 24 Q And with regard to Ms. Eramo, did you have a sense from
- 25 | speaking with Jackie how she felt about Ms. Eramo?

- 1 A I did. She respected her deeply.
- 2 | Q And, in fact, she had told you that she considered her to
- 3 be an asset to the community, amongst other things; isn't that
- 4 right?
- 5 A Yes. And a mother figure and a mentor figure and someone
- 6 | she really respected on campus.
- 7 | Q And so if you'd look at the Plaintiff's Exhibit 154,
- 8 | which is Round 1, do you see at the bottom of the first page
- 9 you have a quote, "an asset to the community"?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Was that a note that you made in your conversation with
- 12 Jackie?
- 13 A I think that it had actually been in a previous
- 14 | conversation. I had written it on the front there because I
- 15 knew I wanted to figure out a place we could incorporate it.
- 16 And so it was kind of a note to myself to -- you know, big on
- 17 the front page, note to myself to make sure we figured out a
- 18 place we could put it.
- 19 Q And did you, in fact, add it to the article or see that
- 20 it was added?
- 21 A Yes. In consultation with Sean, we figured out the place
- 22 where it would work the best, and we added it.
- 23 Q Given her expressed warm feelings toward Ms. Eramo, did
- 24 | you have any reason to believe that she would misquote
- 25 Ms. Eramo or say something that -- or lie about Ms. Eramo?

1 None at all. Now, you also obtained a number of documents which 2 3 corroborated many aspects of Jackie's story; is that fair to 4 sav? 5 Yes, it is. 6 And Sabrina had already supplied you with some of these 7 documents. And in the course of your fact-checking the article, you obtained additional documents; is that right? 8 9 Yes, that's true. 10 Amongst the documents you had were the e-mails between 11 Jackie and Ms. Eramo; is that correct? 12 Yes. And how did -- let me show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 13, 1.3 14 which is an e-mail chain. Alison will help you. 15 These binders are --You said Number 13? 16 17 Q Yes. 18 Can you repeat your question? 19 I didn't have one. But once you get to the document, I 20 will ask you. 21 I mean, what I'm going to -- and why I want you to have 22 the document in front of you -- is what I want to ask you is, 23 how did this e-mail chain between Ms. Eramo and Jackie help to 24 corroborate your sense of the accuracy of her overall story? 25 Let me just look through it real quick.

1.3

Well, there were a few things that this corroborated for me. First, she had had conversations with Dean Eramo and meetings with her as referenced in here. It also backed up the timeline that she talked about, that she'd spent, you know, the fall and spring being depressed and not being as good a student as she wanted to be.

This -- also, in our conversation, she had said that she had had a meeting with a different dean who had referred her to Dean Eramo. And this, you know, says in here that, you know, she met with Dean Lyons, and he referred her to her. So that backed up that part of her story.

And, you know, it also confirmed to me that -- that

Dean Eramo had offered different possibilities, options, for

her, and that she was not going to press her one way or

another, that she was going to let Jackie make the decision

about how she wanted to move forward.

It also showed me that she had told Dean Eramo initially that it was an assault by multiple men. She says, "I'm happy to assist you if you would like to hold these men accountable." So I knew that she had told her that it had been multiple men.

And then it also helped me establish kind of what their rapport, what their relationship kind of looked like. They were very kind to each other. Jackie seems to be -- you know, Dean Eramo seems to be following up with her, and Jackie is

1 responding as quick as she can. And they seem to have a good 2 working relationship and are respectful of each other. 3 Now, going back to the paragraph that includes the reference to involving multiple men, that was on 18284 to the 4 5 paragraph that begins "Thanks, too, for sharing what happened" --6 7 Α Yes. 8 -- "with me." Now, you've already indicated that the fact that the 9 10 documentation verified that she had reported a sexual assault 11 involving multiple men was an important fact for you; is that 12 correct? 1.3 Yes, it was. 14 There's been testimony in this litigation -- oh, and let 15 me back up. 16 That quote from this paragraph about that she would be 17 happy to assist you with filing a report or a complaint, if 18 you decide at some point that you would like to hold these men 19 accountable, that got quoted in the article; is that right? 20 Yes, it was. And so you verified that it was, in fact, a response of 21 22 Ms. Eramo to Jackie when she reported her sexual assault; is 23 that correct? 24 Yes. 25 And did it indicate to you that Ms. Eramo was willing and

prepared -- indeed, was happy -- to assist Jackie if she 1 2 wanted to pursue her claims? Is that right? 3 Yes. Now, there's been some testimony in this litigation 4 5 that -- if you'd go up further in that paragraph, where it indicates that -- where she says, "I understand and respect 6 7 your wishes not to report this matter further to the authorities or through the sexual misconduct policy here on 8 grounds, but I do want you to continue to consider these 9 10 options." 11 Do you see that? 12 Yes, I do. 1.3 Did that sentence help to confirm the fact that Dean Eramo and UVa emphasized the wishes of the student in how 14 15 they wanted to proceed? 16 Yes, it did. 17 And the language in that sentence, when it says, "but I do want you to continue to consider these options," do you see 18 19 that language? 20 Yes. 2.1 That language was not quoted in the article. Do you 2.2 recall that? 23 Α Yes. Okay. Yes. 24 Did you see that language as somehow being inconsistent 25 or different than the language about "be happy to assist you

1 with filing a report or a complaint if you want to hold these 2 men accountable"? 3 No. Were there other e-mails between Jackie and Ms. Eramo 4 5 that helped to corroborate Jackie's story? I believe there were. 6 7 If you'd direct your attention to Plaintiff's Exhibit 18. 8 And these are the e-mails that span between April and May of 2014; is that right? 10 Yes. 11 And did these e-mails help to corroborate that Jackie had 12 also reported to Dean Eramo that she had been physically 13 assaulted by a bottle -- by some young men who had thrown a bottle at her on The Corner in Charlottesville? 14 15 Yes. This was part of what corroborated her story, and 16 that does. 17 And did these e-mails -- and you can look through them --18 also confirm that Jackie had refused to take any action at 19 that time? Is that right? 20 Yes. 21 And you knew from these e-mails that Jackie had spoken 22 with the police about the bottle incident; is that correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 In looking through this e-mail chain between Jackie and

Dean Eramo, is there any reference to her speaking with the

25

police about a sexual assault? 1 2 No. 3 And if you scroll down to the page that is RS17033, the paragraph that begins, "Thanks for coming in today." 4 5 Yes. 6 Do you see that Ms. Eramo is suggesting that it may be 7 worth it to at least have them check the video on The Corner? 8 Do you see that? 9 Α Yes. 10 And you understood that to be a reference to checking or 11 verifying or doing a further investigation of the bottle 12 incident; is that right? 1.3 Yes. She had told us that the bottle incident had 14 happened on The Corner, and this helped confirm that to me. 15 And she goes on to say, "If we can get good video and 16 check photos of them from the FSL rosters, we might be able to 17 find them." Is that correct? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 And, again, that was a reference, you understood, to the bottle incident, correct? 2.1 22 Yes, exactly. 23 But then, towards the end of this e-mail, she says, 24 "Never forget, however, that it is YOUR choice." And "YOUR" 25 is in all caps.

1 Do you see that? 2 Yes. 3 And was that further confirmation that Ms. Eramo was 4 emphasizing to Jackie that it was her choice on how to 5 proceed? Yes, it was. 7 And that UVa was following the policy of victim choice; is that correct? 8 Yes. That's what that confirmed. 9 10 And do you recall that in your back-and-forth with 11 Anthony de Bruyn at UVa he also confirmed that UVa followed a policy of victim choice; is that right? 12 1.3 Yes, that's true. 14 Now, you obtained further corroborating documents 15 concerning the bottle incident; is that fair to say? That is true. 16 17 And that included photos from Jackie? 18 Yes. We received -- I had received three photos from 19 Sabrina that had been given to her from Jackie. 20 And did that help to confirm in your mind that the bottle incident had indeed occurred? 2.1 22 It was less that it had occurred and more that, you 23 know, the laceration on her face was consistent with what she 24 had described and also what was said in other parts of the 25 backup.

1 And the article reports that when she met with Dean Eramo after the bottle incident, that she had a bruised mottling on 2 3 her face; isn't that correct? 4 Yes. 5 So that was a means of you to corroborate the accuracy of 6 that information; is that right? 7 Yes, that's true. Α And this is -- you know, pulling the lens back a little 8 bit, all these documents were helping to corroborate Jackie's 9 10 credibility in your mind; is that right? 11 Yes, exactly. Α 12 You obtained from Sabrina further corroboration regarding 13 the bottle incident; is that correct? 14 Yes. We had a letter from the police. 15 And let's have you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 87. 16 Is this the letter that Sabrina had obtained from the 17 police concerning Jackie's report, her criminal report, of the 18 bottle incident? 19 Α Yes, it is. 20 And this was part of your fact-checking file? 2.1 Α Yes, it was. 22 And something that you considered in verifying and 23 corroborating Jackie's story? 24 Yes, absolutely. She had told us it had happened on this

date, and this confirmed that. She had told us that it had

25

- 1 happened on The Corner. And by googling this address -- and I 2 had become somewhat familiar with the layout of 3 Charlottesville through my fact-checking -- this seemed to confirm that as well. So, yeah. 4 5 And the fact that this was an official document from the 6 Charlottesville police, did that carry significant weight to 7 you in your mind? 8 Yes, absolutely. And did this document from the Charlottesville police in 9 10 any way indicate that she was reporting her sexual -- or had 11 reported her sexual assault to the Charlottesville police? 12 No. This confirmed to me that she had reported an 1.3 aggravated assault to the Charlottesville police, something 14 that had taken place in 2014, which was two years after when 15 this alleged rape would have taken place and something that 16 happened in public, on the street, on this block. 17 Now, you're aware that the article does not include a 18 reference to the fact that Jackie spoke to the police about 19 the bottle incident; is that right? 20 Yes, that's true. 2.1 Were you concerned that that was a reference that should 2.2 have been included in the article? I was comfortable with it as written. Α
- 23
- 24 Why did you not believe that the article should have made 25 some reference to the fact that Jackie had gone to the police

1 with Dean Eramo or the police came in to see her with 2 Dean Eramo concerning the bottle incident? 3 Well, mostly because it was concerning an aggravated assault and not a sexual assault. This was concerning a 4 completely different incident and was not concerning the 5 6 alleged gang rape. Also that there had been no action as a 7 result of this, that seemed like it was okay to not include it in the article. 8 9 And it's fair to say it was a dead end; is that correct? 10 Yes. 11 And it was -- but it was another example, as you understood from the e-mails between Ms. Eramo and Jackie, of 12 1.3 Ms. Eramo honoring Jackie's choice not to move forward? 14 Yes, it was. 15 I mean, she had emphasized that, with all caps, about 16 "YOUR choice"; isn't that right? 17 Yes. It says that "it is YOUR choice." 18 And you obtained some other documents that helped to 19 corroborate some of the details concerning Jackie's story. 20 you recall that? 21 Yes. And those included e-mails from Jackie verifying that she 22 23 had worked at the UVa pool in September of 2012; is that 24 correct? 25 Yes. We received those e-mails.

1 And in her story to you and to Sabrina had indicated that 2 she met Drew -- they were both lifequards at the UVa pool? 3 Α Yes. Is that correct? 4 5 Yes, it is. So the fact that she was able to verify that she was 6 7 working at the UVa pool in September 2012, that, in your mind, helped to verify the accuracy of the overall story; is that 8 9 right? 10 Yes, that's true. 11 Let me show you, just so we can see this, Defendants' 12 Trial Exhibit 22. 13 Oh, is this a different book? 14 Yes, defendants' is a different binder. 15 MS. McNAMARA: Thanks, Alison. 16 BY MS. McNAMARA: 17 You're not the first witness to be burdened with having 18 to go through all these documents. 19 Α Yes, I see it. 20 Now, this was an e-mail chain -- you could see that Sabrina forwarded this e-mail chain on November 6 to you. 21 22 Do you see that? 23 Α Yes. 24 And this was in the midst of your fact-checking of the 25 article; is that correct?

- 1 A Yes. This was four days in.
- 2 Q And if you go down the e-mail chain, you can see that
- 3 what she had forwarded was a September 12, 2012, e-mail from
- 4 | someone at UVa about her federal tax form and direct deposit,
- 5 and it was being sent to "UVa-lifeguards at Virginia.edu."
- 6 Do you see that?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And did this help to inform your conclusion that Jackie
- 9 was, in fact, working at the UVa pool in September 2012 when
- 10 | she met Drew?
- 11 A Yes. This seemed to me that she had been one of the UVa
- 12 lifeguards.
- 13 Q And Jackie provided other corroborating documents
- 14 | concerning elements of her story; is that right?
- 15 A Yes, she did.
- 16 Q She had sent -- she had indicated in her story that, when
- 17 she came back to school in January 2013 or thereabouts, she
- 18 was suffering some depression and that she had sought help
- 19 | from the -- sought mental health counseling from the
- 20 university; is that right?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And she provided documentation to corroborate that fact,
- 23 did she not?
- 24 A Yes, she did.
- 25 Q And that was Defendants' Trial Exhibit 10, if you want to

look at that. 1 2 Yes. 3 If you scan down this document, I'd like to get the signature. This is a document from someone at 4 5 Eservices. Virginia. edu, Ashley Foresman? Uh-huh. 6 7 And this was February 27, 2013. 8 Do you see that? 9 Α Yes. 10 And do you see that the signature for Dr. Foresman, I 11 believe, is a resident in psychology? 12 Yes. 1.3 And so did this confirm in your mind that, as Jackie had 14 told you and had told Sabrina, that when she came back to 15 school in early 2013, she had sought counseling concerning her 16 depression? 17 Yes, it did. 18 And you had that fact independently confirmed from Rachel 19 Soltis as well; is that correct? 20 Yes. 21 Now, so that we keep all these young women straight, 22 Rachel Soltis was one of Jackie's first-year roommates; is 23 that right? 24 Yes. They had lived together the first year. 25 And so she was someone who was interacting with Jackie

- Eramo v. Rolling Stone, et al., 10-25-16, Vol 1 1 contemporaneous with the events that feature at the beginning 2 of the article; is that right? 3 She told me about how she saw Jackie's depression in the months after the gang rape. And did she also tell you that that depression was in 5 6 stark contrast from the Jackie she knew at the beginning of 7 the school year? 8 The Jackie she knew at the beginning of the year 9 was much more outgoing, much more willing to go out and meet 10 people, hang out, be part of campus life. And then there was 11 a quick and drastic turn.
- Q And Jackie had indicated that there was a period of time
- 13 after the assault where she largely just slept in her room; is
- 14 that right?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And Rachel confirmed that independently, did she not?
- 17 A Yes, she did.
- 18 Q And she also had confirmed that Jackie became depressed
- 19 | after the winter break in 2012-2013; is that right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And did you find Rachel Soltis to be a credible person
- 22 when you spoke with her?
- 23 A Yes, absolutely.
- 24 Q Did you consider the fact that Jackie had become
- 25 depressed as a result of a gang rape to be -- to make her

1 somehow less credible when you were speaking with her? 2 No, absolutely not. 3 Or the fact that she was taking antidepressants, did that make you believe that she was not a credible source relating 4 5 to her sexual assault? No. I thought that made her strong to try to seek help. 6 7 Now, you also obtained -- in the course of your fact-checking process, you obtained documentation or text 8 messages from two of the other alleged gang rape victims, 9 10 Maddie and Christine. 11 Do you recall that? 12 Yes. I believe Christine was a friend of Maddie's. 1.3 Okay. So Christine was not a victim? 14 Yes. 15 0 The other victim, her name was Pixie; is that right? 16 Pixie? 17 Q Yes. 18 Okay. 19 0 I'm asking you. 20 I thought it was Becky. 21 Okay. I'm sorry. I think you're correct. Q 22 Α Yeah. 23 And what, if anything, did those text messages 24 corroborate for you in the course of the fact-checking? 25 They corroborated the existence of the other alleged gang

1 rape victims that Jackie had been told about in confidence. 2 They also corroborated the kind of reaction that Jackie 3 had described from fellow students after telling -- after talking about her gang rape. 4 Let's look at Defendants' Exhibit 24, which are the text 5 6 messages between Jackie and Maddie. 7 MS. McNAMARA: Now, going back to the first page just for a moment, Scott. 8 9 BY MS. MCNAMARA: 10 This indicates that Sabrina sent you these text messages 11 on November 6, 2014. Is that right? 12 Yes. 1.3 And that was in the middle of your fact-checking process; is that fair to say? Or early on, actually. 14 15 Α Four days in, so... And if you scroll to the page that is Bates-stamped 16 17 19663, did you understand these to be images that were copied of these text messages? 18 19 I understood these to be screenshots that had been sent to Sabrina from Jackie's phone. 20 21 In your experiences, are you able to forward text 22 messages? 23 In my experience -- I'm not that tech savvy, but I would 24 take a screenshot of it on the phone and then forward it that 25 way.

1 That was the way you would forward --2 Yes. 3 You couldn't automatically press -- there wasn't an option to press "forward" to forward the actual messages to 4 5 someone; is that right? Not that I'm aware of. 6 7 In these text messages, they seem to start in the middle 8 of a conversation. Is that your impression? 9 Yes. 10 It's saying, "He's all right. Pretty boring stuff." And 11 then the question is introduced, "So have you given any 12 thought to talking to Sabrina or Dean Eramo lately?" 1.3 Do you see that? 14 Yes. 15 And Jackie is encouraging, in these text messages, that 16 you need to talk about it, referring to Maddie's rape? 17 Α Yes. This is on 19664? 18 19 Yes, that's what I understood these to be. 20 And what kind of response did these text messages 21 indicate Maddie communicated to Jackie? 22 She did not seem to be supportive of either -- she did 23 not seem to want to go forward herself, and she did not want 24 to -- she thought Jackie was doing it so that people would 25 feel bad for her, which seemed to line up with what Jackie had

1 told Sabrina and told me about the response she had gotten 2 from her -- from fellow students. 3 And so is it fair to say that these text messages helped to confirm, in your mind, the general sense that a number of victims did not want to report their sexual assaults to UVa? 5 6 Yes. 7 And did it also confirm in your mind that UVa students did not think it was a good idea to speak to a reporter for a 8 9 national magazine? Is that right? 10 Yes, it did. 11 So, in that way, it was consistent with Ryan's comments 12 about the shitshow; isn't that correct? 1.3 Yes, it was. 14 And so, in that way, it was corroborative to you, in your 15 mind, as to the accuracy of Jackie's report that Ryan had 16 communicated he didn't want to be involved in her shitshow? 17 Yes. 18 Did you ever consider that these text messages were 19 fabricated or not accurate? 20 No. 21 You believed them to be entirely accurate; isn't that 22 right? 23 Α Absolutely. 24 And they were corroborative of your story; isn't that

25

right?

- 1 Yes, they were. 2 And you also received text messages between Jackie and 3 Christine. Do you recall that? 4 Yes, I did. And that's Defendants' Exhibit 23. Do you have that in 5 6 front of you? 7 Α Yes. 8 And Sabrina had forwarded these messages to you and Sean 9 as well; is that right? 10 Yes, she did. 11 It was on the same day, November 6? 12 Yes, and three minutes later. 1.3 And these messages from Christine were similarly harsh to Jackie; is that correct? 14 15 Yes, they were. Α 16 And so was this further corroboration to the idea that 17 UVa students didn't think it was a good idea for Jackie to be speaking to a national magazine? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 Now, yesterday Mr. Phillips had suggested that, because 2.1 you didn't speak with Maddie or Becky, that you had no reason 22 to believe that they were two other gang rape allegations at 23 Phi Psi. 24 Do you remember that?
- Case 3:15-cv-00023-GEC Document 317 Filed 10/25/16 Page 65 of 151 Pageid#: 15680

25

Α

Yes, I do.

1 But, in fact, you were aware of a number of factors that 2 helped to corroborate that there had been two other gang rape 3 allegations made against or alleged to have occurred at Phi Psi; is that right? 5 Yes, that's true. 6 And that begins with Emily Renda. She had told 7 Sabrina --And you spoke to Emily Renda directly, did you not? 8 9 Yes, I did. 10 -- that at the outset, before even speaking with Jackie, 11 she had indicated that UVa was aware that two other young women with similar Phi Psi stories, that the university was 12 13 aware of that; is that correct? 14 Yes. 15 And that the university was trying to bring those young 16 women forward? 17 That was my understanding, yes. 18 And it was your understanding that Ms. Eramo was aware of 19 that as well; is that correct? 20 Yes. 2.1 And that helped to corroborate that, in fact, these two 22 other allegations of gang rape at Phi Psi, that that was 23 accurate; isn't that correct? 24 Yes, that's true. 25 And that you also were aware, were you not, that Sabrina

had interviewed President Sullivan in connection with her 1 2 reporting; is that right? 3 Yes. I had the transcript of that. And you -- and in that interview, Sabrina had asked about 4 5 three allegations of gang rape at Phi Kappa Psi. Do you recall that? 6 7 Yes, she had. Α And, specifically, she had said that three allegations of 8 9 gang rape had been brought to Dean Eramo; is that right? 10 Yes. 11 And in response to Judge Conrad's question yesterday, you 12 confirmed that you reviewed that transcript; is that correct? 1.3 Yes, I did. 14 And so by reviewing that transcript, you were able to 15 confirm that UVa was aware that three separate allegations of 16 gang rape had been made to Dean Eramo; is that right? 17 MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. That mischaracterizes the 18 record. It's just not true. They all came from the same 19 person, Jackie. There were not three separate allegations of 20 gang rape. And I object to the wording of that question. 2.1 MS. McNAMARA: Let me rephrase it, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. Thank you. 23 BY MS. McNAMARA: 24 You were aware from reviewing President Sullivan's 25 interview that Sabrina had indicated to her that three

1 allegations of gang rape were brought to Dean Eramo; is that 2 right? 3 Yes. And did this further corroborate the fact that you 4 5 believed that there had been two additional allegations of 6 gang rape beyond Jackie's story? 7 Yes, that was my understanding. 8 And it was your understanding that, after the interview 9 with President Sullivan, that Mr. de Bruyn had gone back to 10 Sabrina and tried to correct some information with regard to 11 Stacy's story. 12 Are you familiar with that? 1.3 Yes. And that was, in fact, corrected before the article went 14 15 to press; isn't that right? 16 It was my understanding that there was a confusion 17 about part of the process of expulsions on campus. 18 And you spoke to Stacy, did you not? Yes, I did. 19 Α 20 And you confirmed her story directly with her; is that 21 correct? 22 Yes, I did. 23 And the entire description of Stacy's story, including the fact that she felt discouraged by the dean of students 24 25 office, you confirmed that directly with Stacy; is that

1 correct? 2 That came directly from the woman we called Stacy, yes. 3 And at no time did you become aware that Mr. de Bruyn or anybody else at UVa had gone back to Sabrina or spoken 4 directly to you to correct the fact that they were aware of 5 6 three allegations of gang rape, similar allegation also of 7 gang rape, at Phi Psi; isn't that right? 8 Yes, that's true. 9 And you also were aware that Sabrina had gone to Phi Psi 10 national to raise the issue about these allegations; is that 11 correct? 12 Yes. 1.3 And that Phi Psi national did not dispute that they were made aware of multiple allegations of gang rape at the 14 15 fraternity; is that right? 16 That's correct. 17 And the article included Phi Psi's response; is that correct? 18 19 Α Yes, it did. 20 Let's look at the published article at page 1079. 2.1 MS. McNAMARA: And that's Plaintiff's Exhibit 1, 22 Scott. 23 BY MS. McNAMARA: 24 Do you see the top paragraph in the -- on this page in 25 the middle?

1 Yes. 2 It begins by saying that "UVa at last placed Phi Psi 3 under investigation." Do you see that? 4 5 Yes, I do. 6 And the confirmation from President Sullivan that "We do 7 have the fraternity under investigation"? 8 Yes. 9 And you confirmed that quote from the transcript with 10 President Sullivan; is that right? 11 Α Yes, I did. 12 And then it goes on to say that "Phi Kappa Psi national 1.3 executive director, Shawn Collinsworth, says that UVa indeed 14 notified him of sexual assault allegations." 15 Do you see that? 16 Yes. 17 And it was -- and the notification concerned the plural; 18 is that right? 19 Yes, it does. Α 20 And he confirmed that the national fraternity had 2.1 immediately dispatched a representative to meet with the 22 chapter. 23 Do you see that? 24 Yes. 25 And then Sabrina had also had an e-mail exchange with

1 Steve Scipione, the UVa local chapter president. 2 Are you aware of that? 3 Yes, she had. And you had that e-mail exchange in your fact-checking 4 5 file; is that correct? 6 Yes, that's true, I did. 7 And Mr. Scipione recalls being told only of a vague anonymous fourth-hand allegation of a sexual assault during a 8 9 party. 10 Do you see that? 11 Yes. That seemed to accurately represent that e-mail. 12 And he goes on and is quoted in the article saying, "We 13 were not told that it was a rape but rather that something of 14 a sexual nature took place," he wrote to Rolling Stone in an 15 e-mail. Is that correct? 16 Yes. 17 And we go on to also quote that Mr. Collinsworth says that "The paucity of information, we have no evidence to 18 19 substantiate the alleged assaults." Is that correct? 20 Yes, we have that right there. So did you feel at the time you were fact-checking the 2.1 article that we had allowed Phi Psi, both national and local, 22 23 to voice their responses and views to the allegations in the 24 article? 25 Yes, I did.

And in your questioning from Mr. Phillips, I believe 1 2 there was some reference to the fact that you didn't 3 independently go to Phi Psi to corroborate these denials. Is that right? 4 5 Yes, that's true. Was it your practice, when a reporter had obtained a 6 7 denial from a person or an entity like this, would you 8 independently go back and get a second denial or go back to check that with the organization? 9 10 Not if I was satisfied with the denial that the reporter 11 had obtained. 12 And here, were you satisfied that these denials were 13 accurately included in the article? 14 Yes, I was. 15 Now, did the fact that UVa --16 THE COURT: Ms. McNamara, at some point I think we 17 need to take a short break. Is this a transition point? 18 Sounds like it might be. 19 MS. McNAMARA: Absolutely. I'm moving to something 20 else. So perfect. Thank you. 2.1 THE COURT: So, ladies and gentlemen, we'll take our 22 first midmorning break. I would ask that, while you're away 23 from us, you do not discuss the case with one another, do not 24 permit anyone to discuss it with you. 25

I'll ask the witness not to discuss her pending

```
1
     testimony with counsel from either side.
 2
               Let's plan to return at ten till 10.
 3
               Ask the marshal to declare court in recess.
 4
     (Recess)
 5
     (Jury in)
     (Open court as follows:)
 7
               THE COURT: Report that all ten jurors are back and
     in their places, ready for the continuation of
 8
     cross-examination of this witness.
 9
10
     BY MS. McNAMARA:
11
          Ms. Garber-Paul, in the course of fact-checking the
     article, did you learn how Sabrina had been connected to
12
13
     Jackie?
14
          Yes. In the course of fact-checking, I learned that
15
     Sabrina had been introduced to Jackie by Emily Renda.
16
          And did you understand at that time that Emily Renda
17
    worked for UVa?
18
          Yes, I did.
19
          Was that -- did that inform your understanding as to
20
     Jackie's credibility in any way?
21
          Yes. I understood that Emily had believed in Jackie's
22
     story enough to introduce her to a reporter from a national
23
    magazine.
24
          Did you -- in your experience, when someone gets
25
     introduced to speak to a national magazine and they're
```

introduced and put forward by an institution, does that help 1 2 to inform your assessment of the credibility of that source? 3 MR. PHILLIPS: Objection. Foundation. I think she needs to lav a little more for that kind of a broad 4 conclusion. 5 6 MS. McNAMARA: I'll do that. Thank you. 7 BY MS. MCNAMARA: So you've indicated that Emily Renda had referred Jackie 8 to Sabrina; is that right? 10 Yes, she did. 11 And you understood that she was working for UVa at the 12 time; is that right? 1.3 Yes. 14 And did you have an understanding that UVa was aware that 15 Jackie had been referred to Sabrina and Rolling Stone? 16 Well, I knew that Emily had referred her. And Emily, 17 being an employee, I understood that she probably wouldn't have referred her if she hadn't believed her deeply. 18 19 And did you understand that -- from reviewing the notes 20 and speaking with Ms. Renda, that Dean Eramo had -- was aware 21 of the referral and the fact that Jackie was speaking to 22 Rolling Stone? 23 Yes, I understood that Dean Eramo did know that Jackie 24 was speaking with Rolling Stone.

So did the fact that UVa was aware of the fact that

- Jackie was speaking to Rolling Stone and that a UVa employee 1 2 had referred Jackie to Rolling Stone, did that help to inform 3 your assessment of Jackie's credibility? Yes, it did. It lent to her credibility, in my mind. 4 5 Now, I just mentioned Emily Renda, and you, of course, had spoken with her. Did you also become aware, in the course 6 7 of your fact-checking process, that she had testified before 8 Congress? Yes. I was given a copy of her testimony as part of my 9 10 backup from Sabrina. 11 And did you review that testimony in the course of your 12 fact-checking? 1.3 Yes, I did. 14 And as a fact-checker, when you receive documents that 15 are part of the congressional record or a trial record, does 16 that carry any added weight as to the credibility of that 17 information? 18 Yes, it does. 19 Did you understand that Ms. Renda was testifying under 20 oath?
- 21 A That was my understanding, yes.
- Q And did you understand that Ms. Renda included a
- 23 reference to Jackie's story in her congressional testimony?
- 24 A Yes, she did. She included a reference to the assault
- and then also to the aftermath and the treatment by her fellow

1 students. 2 And so did you find Ms. Renda's testimony to be 3 corroborative of Jackie's story? 4 Yes, I did. And in her testimony, she referred to Jackie's assault as 5 a gang rape, did she not? 7 Yes, she did. Α And she had also referred to the fact that the assault 8 9 occurred at a fraternity; is that correct? 10 Yes, she did. 11 And she had also -- and I think this was noted by 12 Mr. Phillips yesterday -- had referenced that the assault 1.3 involved five men rather than seven men; is that correct? 14 Yes. 15 Did that cause you any concern in your mind as to the 16 accuracy of Jackie's story? 17 No, because when I spoke with Jackie, who was the only 18 individual we had who had been in a room, she clarified for me 19 that it was seven men. 20 Did you ask her about the discrepancy with Emily Renda's 2.1 testimony? 2.2 I asked her how many men it was. I don't believe I would 23 have referred to it as a discrepancy in our conversation where I was asking her for very personal details. But I did have 24

her go through with me in detail how many men there were in

1 that room. 2 And in the course of your fact-checking of the article, 3 did you also become aware that the university had informed Phi Psi of Jackie's allegations? 4 5 Yes, that was my understanding. 6 And did you also become aware that Dean Eramo had told 7 Jackie that Phi Psi had been alerted about her allegations? Yes, from both Jackie and from Alex Pinkleton. 8 9 And there's a quote in the article from the meeting that 10 Jackie had with Dean Eramo concerning the notification to 11 Phi Psi. 12 Do you recall that? 13 Α Yes, I do. And it included a quote from Dean Eramo; is that right? 14 15 Α Yes. 16 And what was that quote? 17 I would have to look at the document. I'm sorry. Please do. It is -- it's Plaintiff's Exhibit --18 19 Here we go. I actually have it in front of me. This is 20 on 1079 on the final article, below the pull quote in the 21 center. 22 "According to both women, Eramo revealed that she learned 23 through the grapevine that all the boys involved had 24 graduated." 25

And you confirmed that quote with Jackie when you spoke

with her? 1 2 Yes, I did. 3 Did you also confirm that quote with Alex Pinkleton? Yes, I did. 4 5 And was it of import to you that both young women had 6 confirmed the same quote from Dean Eramo? 7 It gave utter confidence in this. Α 8 And the fact that she was saying -- or was quoted as 9 saying, all the boys involved have graduated, what, if 10 anything, or how, if anything, did that inform your assessment 11 as to the credibility of Jackie and the accuracy of her story? 12 It lent credibility to her and her story. 1.3 And you knew -- I mean, this was an instance where Jackie 14 was reporting a quote from Dean Eramo, a thirdhand quote? 15 Α Yes. 16 And so you were able to verify that she had, in fact, 17 said that through Alex Pinkleton as well; isn't that correct? 18 Since this had been a meeting between Jackie and 19 Eramo where Alex had attended, I did have an extra source for 20 that -- for that quote, and both women confirmed it to me. 2.1 Did that help in your mind to substantiate that Jackie was an accurate reteller of quotes from other individuals? 22 23 Yes, it did. Α 24 And specifically Dean Eramo; isn't that right? 25 Yes. Α

- 1 Q Now, you also knew that UVa had finally contacted the 2 fraternity, we've established; is that right?
- 3 A Yes.

10

17

- 4 Q How did that help to inform your sense of confidence in 5 Jackie's story, if it did?
- A Well, we were setting this up as an allegation. The
 story in my mind was about unadjudicated allegations, which
 are inherently hard to report on because you don't have the
 official documentation that you might have from an allegation
- So this to me confirmed that everybody involved was aware of this allegation, was going through an investigative process for it, and that lent credence to me.

that's actually gone through the process.

- Q And did -- in your mind, at the time you were assessing
 the credibility of this story and its accuracy, did the fact
 that UVa was finally taking action help to confirm to you that
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And you didn't -- did you have a view that whether the
- 20 university would take action and contact the fraternity, did
- 21 that, you know, in your mind, help to establish that they
- 22 | found Jackie's story to be credible?

they believed Jackie?

- 23 A Yes, they were looking into it. They were investigating.
- Q And when both Jackie and Alex confirmed that Dean Eramo
- 25 said that both boys had graduated, did that also indicate to

1 you that they knew who the boys were? 2 Well, it said all the boys, and yes. 3 And you knew from Jackie's story that Drew was a 4 third-year at the time of her assault in 2012; is that right? 5 Yes. 6 And so this meeting with Jackie and Alex in which they 7 learned from Dean Eramo that all the boys had graduated, that was in the fall of 2014; is that right? 8 9 Yes. 10 So if Drew was a third-year in 2012-2013, he would have 11 graduated by fall of 2014, assuming he didn't stay longer at 12 UVa than normal; is that right? 1.3 Yes, that was my understanding. 14 And so did that also help to confirm the accuracy of 15 Jackie's story? 16 Yes. 17 Now, you also spoke to a number of Jackie's friends 18 regarding her allegations of gang rape. 19 Do you recall that? 20 Yes, I did. 21 You spoke to Alex Pinkleton; is that right? Q 22 Α Yes. 23 And did she have, in sum or substance, the same story of 24 Jackie's allegation? 25 Yes. Α

1 And did she confirm that with you in the course of your 2 conversations with Alex? 3 I believe it was just one, one conversation. But, yes, she confirmed that with me. 5 And you also spoke to Sara Surface; is that right? 6 Yes, I did. 7 And did she also confirm the basic elements of Jackie's story of gang rape at Phi Psi? 8 I believe she did, yes. 9 10 And Emily Renda you had spoken with as well. And she 11 also confirmed that she was aware of Jackie's allegations of 12 gang rape; is that correct? 1.3 Yes. 14 And as we've established, she testified about it before 15 Congress? 16 Yes. 17 And she, Emily Renda, also confirmed Jackie's story 18 regarding her connection with One Less; isn't that right? 19 Yes. She told me in detail about the conversation she 20 had with Jackie that we have in the article. 21 And so the description of One Less, the conversation that 22 Emily Renda had with Jackie, and the referral from Dean Eramo 23 to Emily Renda was all confirmed by Jackie as well as Emily 24 Renda?

25

Yes.

1 So this is another instance where you're not just relying 2 on Jackie; is that correct? 3 Yes, this is true. And as we've previously indicated, you also spoke with 4 5 Jackie's first-year roommate, Rachel Soltis? 6 Yes, I did. 7 And you confirmed the details of Jackie's story with Rachel in many ways as well --8 9 Α Yes. 10 -- is that right? 11 Yes, that's true. 12 Now, Mr. Phillips had indicated earlier that Rachel had 1.3 first reported that Jackie said that she had been forced to 14 engage in oral sex. 15 Do you recall that? 16 Yes. 17 Were you aware of that at the time in your fact-checking 18 process? 19 I was not. I was -- I was under the impression it was 20 gang rape. 21 And did you have any conversation with Rachel Soltis 22 about any kind of evolution in the story? 23 I don't recall having a conversation with her in detail 24 about that.

But you were aware from Emily Renda's testimony that the

number of men had evolved? 1 2 Yes, that's true. 3 Or at least there was a different recounting of that; is that right? 5 Yes. And had you -- did that cause any concern in your mind as 6 7 to the accuracy or credibility of Jackie's story? No. I believed her to be entirely credible. It was my 8 understanding, it is my understanding, that victims of 9 10 traumatic experiences and also, you know, including traumatic 11 sexual assault, it sometimes takes some time for them to come 12 to terms with what happened to them and their story to 1.3 solidify. And was your understanding in that regard informed by 14 15 discussions with your mother? 16 Yes, it was. 17 Tell me about that. You know, I was troubled by this article. As I was 18 19 working on it, it was emotionally difficult for me to have 20 these conversations with Jackie and to fact-check an article 2.1 you really have to -- you have only a few days to dive right 2.2 into it. 23 And my mother and I are close. And this is something 24 that she has to deal with on a regular basis, so I thought, 25 you know, to reach out to her to have conversations. And I

told her about my conversations with Jackie and about how, you 1 2 know, her story had solidified. And my mother, you know, said 3 that that is a thing that sometimes happens and sometimes it takes, especially a young person, some time to come to terms 4 with troubling things that have happened to them. 5 So it didn't make me -- it didn't concern me. You know, 6 7 it didn't stick out as something that I needed to call her out 8 on or, you know, confront her with, like, an inaccuracy. It seemed like she was telling me as much as she could remember 9 10 about this thing that had happened to her two years before she 11 was talking to me. 12 Did you also have a conversation with Emily Renda about 1.3 trauma victims and how they process their traumas? 14 Yes. We did have a conversation. 15 What do you recall about that conversation? Just that in talking, you know, Emily had said, you know, 16 17 well, her story had been slightly different. And I said, no, 18 I understand that, and it's my understanding that sometimes 19 this can happen with trauma victims. And she said, yeah, 20 that's my understanding as well. 2.1 And we talked about it for a few minutes and then 22 continued confirming things about the university and about her 23 work there and about the people she knew there. 24 So you were working with an understanding that helped to 25

inform your fact-checking process that trauma victims often

will -- their stories may evolve or they may become more 1 2 comfortable with getting the details of their story out; is 3 that fair to say? 4 Yes. And -- but it's clear that, as to the details, the actual 5 details of the rape that is described at the outset of the 7 article, we did not have -- we were relying on Jackie for 8 that; is that right? 9 Yes, it's true. 10 And I think, as we established earlier, you believed, to 11 readers, we were communicating that this was Jackie's story; 12 is that right? 13 Yes. I believed we were communicating that clearly. 14 In your experience with dealing with hundreds of articles 15 at Rolling Stone, is it unusual to rely on a first-person account of an event? 16 17 If it's an event that only they were present for, then --18 or that -- no, it's not that -- it's not very unusual. 19 And have you -- do you have an understanding about some 20 of the difficulties of reporting on rape? 2.1 It's my understanding that often victims of rape are not 22 comfortable coming forward -- or not comfortable disclosing 23 the name of their attacker. And I understood that going into 24 this article.

And the -- you had a number of questions from

- 1 Mr. Phillips concerning the fact that the magazine made the decision to not seek comment from Drew and to not even 2 3 specifically know Drew's full name. Do you recall that? 4 5 Yes, I do. 6 And the assessment of the magazine going forward with not 7 knowing Drew's full name and not being able to get comment 8 from him, was that taken lightly by the magazine? 9 No, it was not. 10 And do you remember having more than one conversation 11 with Mr. Woods about that? 12 Yes. 1.3 And in the context of making that assessment, did you look at the -- all the information you had in the totality 14 15 regarding Jackie and her story? 16 Yes. We took it all into consideration. 17 So you considered in making that assessment that you 18 personally had found Jackie to be highly credible when you 19 spoke to her; is that fair to say? 20 Yes. I told him multiple times that I found Jackie to be 2.1 very credible, and these were the reasons why. 2.2 And given your relationship with Mr. Woods, it was your 23 understanding that he valued your assessment; is that right? 24 Absolutely.
- 25 Q And you were also aware -- the magazine was also aware

- 1 that Sabrina found Jackie to be entirely credible; is that 2 right? 3 Yes, we knew that. And that she had provided you, as well as Sabrina, with a 4 5 lot of details concerning her story; is that right? 6 Yes, that's true. 7 And she had also provided you, as we've established this morning, a significant number of corroborating documents that 8 9 helped to confirm a lot of the details surrounding her story; 10 is that right? 11 Α Yes, she did. 12 Her relationship with Dean Eramo? 1.3 Α Yes. 14 And the fact that she worked at the pool? 15 Α Yes. The fact that she had gone to mental health? 16 17 For mental health counseling, yes. 18 The fact that she had gone to the police, as well as the 19 text messages, all these documents, did that help to inform 20 your overarching assessment of Jackie's credibility and the 2.1 accuracy of her story? Yes, it did. 22 23 You also were aware, as we've established, that UVa
- 25 A Yes, and that they were investigating this.

appeared to believe Jackie?

- Eramo v. Rolling Stone, et al., 10-25-16, Vol 1 1 And that, I assume -- am I correct to assume? -- helped 2 to inform the overarching assessment of Jackie's credibility 3 and the accuracy of her story? 4 Yes, it did. 5 And then, finally, you've spoken to a number of her friends -- Alex Pinkleton, Sara Surface, Emily Renda, Rachel 6 7 Soltis -- and that they had confirmed Jackie's story in many significant ways, to your mind; is that right? 8 9 Yes. 10 And did you take all that information together to make an 11 assessment, in your conversations with Mr. Woods and Sabrina, 12 that the magazine felt comfortable going forward with Jackie's
- 14 A Yes, I did.
- Q And at the time you published that story, did you have any reason to believe that Jay did not actually exist?

description of her gang rape without speaking to Drew?

- 17 A No. I absolutely believed Jay existed.
- Q And besides Jackie and her story, did you have any other corroboration that led you to the conclusion that Jay must
- 20 exist?

- 21 A Yes. I mean, there was the -- there was the conversation
- 22 that they had graduated. That led me to believe that Jay
- 23 existed.
- 24 Q And what about your conversation with Rachel Soltis?
- 25 A Yes. In my conversation with Rachel Soltis, she seemed

- 1 | to believe that Jay existed. And I knew that in her
- 2 | conversation with Sabrina, she indicated that she knew that
- 3 Jay existed.
- 4 | Q And during your fact-checking process, did you undertake
- 5 independent efforts to try to find Jay?
- 6 A No, I did not.
- 7 Q Did you have a phone conversation with Sabrina in which
- 8 | you tried to find a list of the 2012 members of Phi Psi?
- 9 A We tried to find that. We tried to find a list of people
- 10 that worked at the pool. None of these things were available
- 11 to us as, you know, nonmembers of the UVa community.
- 12 Q But you were trying to find lists to identify Jay, either
- 13 from a list of the fraternity members or people who worked at
- 14 | the pool; is that right?
- 15 A Yes. We tried to know that.
- 16 Q And it didn't work; is that right?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And so, ultimately, you, Sean, and Sabrina felt
- 19 | comfortable without getting comment from Drew; is that right?
- 20 A Yes, we did.
- 21 Q And that was based upon all the corroboration that we've
- 22 | gone through; is that fair to say?
- 23 A Yes, that's fair.
- Q Now let's turn our attention to the three friends.
- 25 Mr. Phillips had also asked you a number of questions

- 1 about the fact that Sabrina did not get comment from the three 2 friends directly. Do you recall that? 3 Yes, I do. Α Is it fair to say you regret that decision? 4 5 Yes, that's very fair to say. 6 But when you published the recount of the three friends 7 in the immediate aftermath of Jackie's assault, you believed that it was true; is that right? 8 9 Yes, I did. 10 And you were aware that Sabrina had pressed Jackie over 11 and over to be put in contact with Ryan or the three friends; 12 is that right? 1.3 Yes, that was my understanding. 14 And you understood at the time you published that, 15 through Jackie, she had obtained comment from Ryan and that he 16 didn't want to talk to Rolling Stone; is that right? 17 Yes. And I went through that with Jackie on the phone 18 myself as well. 19 And, again, I think we've established -- but because it's 20 probably important for you to acknowledge that you believed 21 that the attribution of Ryan's quote was not as clear as you 22 would have wanted; is that right? 23 I wish in retrospect that we had made that clearer
- 25 Q But to be clear -- and I'm going to get to this -- unlike

24

to the reader.

the attribution to Ryan, each quote from Jackie that was 1 2 specifically about Ms. Eramo was clearly credited to Jackie; 3 is that right? Yes. Yes, that is true. 4 5 Did you ever have any understanding in the course of your fact-checking process that the three friends knew anything 6 7 about Jackie's interactions with Dean Eramo? 8 No. That didn't seem to be in the same kind of parts of 9 the story. 10 During the course of your fact-checking process, did you 11 have any understanding that any of the three friends knew 12 anything about Jackie's interactions with UVa independent of 1.3 Dean Eramo? 14 No. 15 MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I want to object. She never spoke to the three friends, so I'm not sure how she 16 17 could have formed an understanding of what they did or didn't 18 know. 19 THE COURT: I think that's well taken. 20 MS. McNAMARA: Thank you. 21 BY MS. MCNAMARA: 2.2 When you were looking at the information from the three 23 friends, did you have any information that they were involved 24 or knowledgeable about Jackie's relationship with UVa? 25 It was my understanding that those three friends had been

1 present the night of the alleged sexual assault and that they 2 had met her after she had come out of the fraternity and that 3 they were around for the next, you know, several weeks and had said these cruel things to her in the immediate aftermath. 4 It was also my understanding that Jackie's interaction 5 6 with UVa and with Dean Eramo did not happen until the 7 following spring. So I saw these things to be happening in separate periods of time in Jackie's life. 8 9 In your discussions with Mr. Woods or your discussion 10 with Sabrina, did you ever get the sense that she was trying 11 to avoid to speak with the three friends? 12 Absolutely not. 1.3 Did you ever get a sense that there was a concern that, 14 if she or the magazine spoke with one or more of the three 15 friends, that Jackie's story would somehow blow up and not be 16 true? 17 No. I believe we all wanted to talk to those individuals. 18 19 Now, Mr. Phillips had mentioned that Sabrina's notes 20 included an approximation of Kathryn Hendley's name. 2.1 Do you recall that? 22 Α Yes, I do. 23 And that came from the interview with Rachel Soltis; is 24 that correct? 25 Yes, that's true.

1 Did you, in reviewing Sabrina's notes, see that 2 reference? 3 I don't remember seeing that reference until after publication. 4 And it didn't have any meaning, or you didn't -- since 5 you didn't know her last name, it didn't have any resonance if 6 7 you didn't see it; but would it have had, in your mind, any 8 resonance? 9 I don't think it would have stuck out as such an 10 important piece of information. 11 And Sabrina had provided you with a 400-plus-page 12 reporting file; isn't that right? 13 Yes, she had. And it wasn't searchable; is that correct? 14 15 Α That is true. And was that a source of some frustration for you? 16 17 Α Yes. And in the course of your fact-checking process, did you 18 19 have any reason to believe that Sabrina was aware that she had 20 a variant of Kathryn Hendley's name in her notes? 2.1 No, I don't believe she knew that. That was not my 22 understanding. 23 And at the front of Sabrina's large reporting file, she 24 had a long contact list. Are you familiar with that?

25

Α

Yes, I am.

1 And that included names of all sorts of people that came 2 up in her reporting and contact information associated with 3 those names; is that right? 4 Yes. Yes. And I -- I would imagine that if she realized she had 5 6 this name, she would have given it to me on that same long 7 contact list that she had provided at the outset of the fact-checking process. 8 9 And if you look at the contact list -- I think you have 10 there in front of you, her -- yeah, it's the bound. 11 Do you see any reference to Kathryn or some variation of 12 Kathryn Hendley? 1.3 No. Now, with regard to the woman who is identified as Cindy 14 15 in the article, one of the three friends, do you recall that 16 she was -- at one point in the article, she's described as "a 17 hook-up queen"? 18 Yes. 19 You didn't rely solely on Jackie for that description, 20 did you? That also came from Rachel Soltis. 2.1 22 And she had confirmed that it was her understanding or 23 she -- you understood she knew this Cindy; is that right? 24 Yes.

And she had confirmed that Cindy was known to sleep with

1 a lot of people; is that right? 2 I don't know if I'm comfortable saying that. 3 Okay. Tell me what you're comfortable with and what you understood. She had confirmed that she was aware that that was 5 something that this individual had said about themselves. 7 Now, you mentioned earlier that you fact-checked the article with UVa; is that right? 8 Yes, I did. 9 10 And you had a lot of back-and-forth with UVa and Anthony 11 de Bruyn; is that correct? 12 I did. 13 I want to hand you --MS. McNAMARA: We're going to mark what's been 14 15 marked as Defendants' Exhibit 266 for identification. 16 be --17 MS. MOODY: Defendants' 68. 18 BY MS. McNAMARA: 19 Can you identify for the record, Ms. Garber-Paul, what 20 this document is? 21 MR. PHILLIPS: Liz, do you have a copy? 22 MS. McNAMARA: Oh, I'm so sorry. 23 THE WITNESS: This is the initial communication 24 between myself and Anthony de Bruyn. 25 BY MS. McNAMARA:

1 And these e-mails are all on November 7, 2014; is that 2 right? 3 Yes, they are. MS. McNAMARA: Your Honor, I move for these to go 4 5 into the record. THE COURT: 68, without objection. 6 7 (Defendants' Trial Exhibit 68 admitted) BY MS. McNAMARA: 8 Now, turning your attention to the second page of 9 10 Defendants' Exhibit 68, do you see your first e-mail to 11 Mr. de Bruyn dated November 7? 12 Yes. 1.3 And you introduce yourself, that you're a fact-checker at Rolling Stone. And then you go on to say, "I'd like to go 14 15 through some facts, details, and characterizations and make 16 sure that the university is being represented as accurately as 17 possible." 18 Do you see that? 19 Α Yes. And was that your intent at the time? 20 21 Yes, absolutely. 22 And did you believe, at the completion of your 23 fact-checking process, that you had met that goal? 24 Yes, I did. 25 And you believed that the presentation and

1 characterization of UVa in the article was as accurate as 2 possible? 3 That was my belief when we went to publication. And that would include any reference to Ms. Eramo, who, 4 5 of course, worked at the university; is that right? 6 Yes, that's true. 7 Now, after this initial reach-out to Mr. de Bruyn, you had a phone call with him? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 And I would like to show you now what's in evidence as 11 Plaintiff's Exhibit 154. 12 Do you have that in front of you? No. Give me one moment. I got to find the right binder. 13 14 MS. McNAMARA: Is she in the right one? 15 MS. SCHARY: The Round 1. 16 MS. McNAMARA: No, it's not the Round 1. 17 MS. SCHARY: It's the Round 1, galley. 18 MS. McNAMARA: Oh, thank you. Someone knows what's 19 going on here. Thanks. 20 THE WITNESS: That's easy. 21 BY MS. MCNAMARA: 22 Now, directing your attention to page 4863, there's a 23 discussion in the article on this page about the fact that UVa 24 was one of only 12 schools under a sweeping investigation 25 known as a compliance review.

1 Do you see that? 2 Yes. 3 And were you able to confirm with Mr. de Bruyn that UVa was, in fact, complying with the OCR's investigation? 5 Yes. And I also spoke with the Office of Civil Rights itself. 6 7 And did the Office of Civil Rights confirm that UVa was under a compliance review and that it was only one of 12 8 9 schools subject to that type of review --10 I would have to --11 -- at the time? 12 I would have to double-check that that's what made it 1.3 into the final, but I'm very confident about everything that 14 the Office of Civil Rights was telling me was accurate. 15 And on page 4864 of this galley, I believe we -- at the 16 outset of your testimony today, you confirmed that UVa had 17 verified that they had canceled Sabrina's interview with 18 Dean Eramo; is that right? 19 Yes, that's true. 20 And also that you were told that you were not to contact 2.1 any administrators at UVa; is that right? 2.2 Yes, that was my understanding. 23 And you also confirmed with Mr. de Bruyn that UVa 24 emphasized -- had taken to emphasizing that, in matters of 25 sexual assault, the university catered to victim choice. And

1 that appears at 4866 of the galley. 2 It's in the middle column, Ms. Garber-Paul. 3 Α Oh, yes. "Like many schools, UVa had taken to emphasizing" --4 5 Α Yes. 6 And Mr. de Bruyn had confirmed that for you? 7 Α Yes, he did. 8 And that's why a squiggle appears through the line? Yes. And I still had "like many schools" highlighted 9 10 because I needed to check with other schools, or, you know, 11 check with other people that knew about other schools, to make 12 sure that this was a common thing. 1.3 And you, in fact, endeavored to do that; is that right? 14 Yes, I did. 15 And did you document that, that other schools emphasized 16 victim choice as well? 17 Yes. I believe in my speaking with the -- yes. 18 speaking with the experts in this section, I became 19 comfortable with that. 20 And turning your attention to the page that's 21 Bates-stamped 4867, on this page, were you able to confirm the 22 statistics that are included in the article, specifically that 23 in the last academic year, 38 students complained to Eramo 24 about a sexual assault, up from about 20 students three years 25 ago?

I'm sorry. I'm a little lost. 1 Wait. 2 You said 4867? 3 I believe so. 0 MS. SCHARY: Yes. Top left corner. 4 5 BY MS. MCNAMARA: 6 It's the top left corner. 7 Okay. Got it. I'm sorry. 8 Okay. I'll just look on the screen here. 9 Yes? 10 And did Mr. de Bruyn confirm these statistics that, of 11 the 39 complaints or reports of sexual assault, only 9 12 resulted in complaints and the other 29 students evaporated? 1.3 Yes. And we had changed -- this is one of the confusing 14 things, was the difference between complaints and reports. So 15 he talked me through and explained the difference in the UVa 16 system, that what we were specifically talking about are 17 complaints and not reports. 18 And in this same paragraph, you confirmed from the 19 interview that Sabrina conducted with President Sullivan that 20 publishing these statistics was not in keeping with best 21 practices? Yes. I believe I also -- based on the color-coding I 2.2 23 have here -- also discussed that with Mr. de Bruyn. 24 And directing your attention to 4867 on this same page, 25 with regard -- there's a discussion about Annie Hylton and

1 Kathryn Russell in the middle column. 2 Do you see that below the big pull quote? 3 Α Yes. And it's the paragraph that begins, "If Seccuro's story 4 5 of administrative cover-up and indifference sounds outrageous, it's actually in keeping with the stories told by other UVa 6 7 survivors." Do you see that? 8 9 Yes. 10 And the paragraph goes on to recount the events of Annie 11 Hylton and Kathy Russell, with a conclusion at the end of the 12 paragraph, "For the issuance of a directive that these 1.3 students could not discuss the results of their sexual 14 misconduct hearings, UVa had been found quilty by the Office 15 of Civil Rights of violating the Clery Act in 2008." 16 Do you see that? Yes. And I have a correction there. I believe that it 17 18 says they had been found in violation of the Clery Act by the 19 Department of Education's Office of Civil Rights. 20 And so that was an example of you wanting to be as accurate as possible that it wasn't a criminal finding of 2.1 guilt; it was rather they were found in violation of the Clery 22 23 Act. Is that right? 24 Yes, exactly. 25 Now, you had some follow-up with Mr. de Bruyn concerning

your fact-checking queries; is that right? 1 2 Yes, a lot. 3 And I'll show you Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 115. Yes. I'm here. Sorry. 4 5 Okay. I'm sorry. In this document, were you able to confirm through 6 7 Mr. de Bruyn the fact about that the school had had no 8 expulsions for sexual assault in recent history but over a hundred expulsions for honor code violations? 10 That's on 13354. Yes. 11 And this is where I cite the statistics that are given in the WUVA video and ask for him to let me know if this 12 1.3 reporting is inaccurate. 14 And I know that we've talked about that Mr. de Bruyn made 15 it clear that you should not contact specific administrators 16 at the university -- and I know you've mentioned this -- but 17 explain to me what your understanding was concerning asking 18 him questions about the specific facts surrounding reports, 19 individuals' reports of sexual assault. 20 That seemed very much something that we were allowed to talk about. He was willing to talk about the -- you know, the 21 22 general policies and the statistics at the university. It was 23 just I couldn't ask about, you know, what happened with an 24 individual student's case. 25 And specifically with regard to -- and I'm going to get

1 to it in more detail -- but with regard to the quote 2 attributed to Ms. Eramo from Jackie about the rape school 3 quote --Yes. 5 -- are you familiar with that? 6 Yes, I am. 7 And you didn't ask Mr. de Bruyn about that quote; is that 8 right? 9 No, I did not. 10 And what was the reason you didn't ask Mr. de Bruyn about 11 that quote? 12 Because it had to do with the meeting that happened 1.3 between an individual student and Dean Eramo, and it was in a 14 closed-door meeting, and it was an off-the-cuff comment that 15 she had given to -- that I understood that she had given to 16 Jackie. 17 You considered this to be part of her interactions with a sexual assault victim, specifically Jackie; is that right? 18 19 Yes, I did. Α And you understood from your discussions with 20 2.1 Mr. de Bruyn that you were not to -- or he was not going to 22 provide you any information concerning the interactions or the 23 facts of specific students' sexual assault? 24 Yes, that was my understanding.

Let's turn to the depiction of Ms. Eramo in the article.

1 Sure. Let me put this back. 2 Yes. 3 Having read the article and when working closely with the article over the fact-checking process, how did you view the 4 general depiction of Ms. Eramo in the article? 5 I saw it as a nuanced picture of a person who had a 6 7 really hard job and who was deeply cared for by her -- by the 8 students that worked with her. 9 Is it fair to say that there was some criticism as well 10 about UVa's response to sexual assault allegations? And, of 11 course, Ms. Eramo worked with UVa; is that right? 12 I definitely saw, you know, part of what we were 1.3 doing here was it -- was a criticism of UVa's policy towards sexual assault victims; and Dean Eramo, being the implementer 14 15 of this policy, she was certainly discussed. But I did not 16 see this as a criticism of her personally. 17 And what did you understand there to be, in the course of 18 your discussions with Sabrina and Mr. Woods, any overarching 19 themes of the article? That sometimes a desire to -- to work with victims 20 21 and to leave victims in charge of what they were going to do 22 about their assaults could have unintended consequences, 23 negative unintended consequences towards security on campus. 24 And how did you understand there to be a negative 25 unintended consequences about safety?

1 By letting victims like Jackie determine how they were 2 going to proceed with their own reporting of their rapes. 3 There weren't sufficient -- you know, according to experts we talked to and according to -- you know, according to people 4 knowledgeable on these subjects, that there weren't sufficient 5 6 warnings issued on campus. 7 And did you speak with some of those, what the article calls experts, in the course of your fact-checking process? 8 Yes, I did. 9 10 MR. PHILLIPS: Object to the characterization of 11 "experts," Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: That was a fair question. Overrule the 1.3 objection. 14 MS. McNAMARA: Thank you. 15 BY MS. MCNAMARA: 16 And so included amongst the people identified in the article as experts, did you speak with Daniel Carter? 17 18 Yes, I did. 19 And did you speak with him about those issues about the 20 obligation of a university to protect students and the safety of students in the face of sexual assault allegations? 2.1 22 Yes, we did. 23 And did he confirm the depiction or the conclusions 24 reached in the article?

25

Α

Yes.

1 And did you also speak with Laura Dunn? 2 Yes, I did. 3 And did she also confirm the article's -- the quotes from her as well as the article's depiction of victim choice at the 5 university? 6 Yes. 7 MS. McNAMARA: Let me have marked for identification 8 Defendants' --9 MS. MOODY: 69. 10 BY MS. McNAMARA: 11 Can you identify for the record whether these are e-mails 12 that were received by you from S. Daniel Carter in November of 13 2014? Yes. This seems to be a series of e-mails going back and 14 15 forth both scheduling a call and then following up on a call between me and Daniel Carter. 16 MS. McNAMARA: I move the admission of the e-mails, 17 18 Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: 69, without objection. 20 (Defendants' Exhibit 69 admitted) 21 BY MS. McNAMARA: And does this e-mail chain confirm that you, in fact, 22 spoke with Mr. Carter? 23 24 Yes. 25 And that he had confirmed the description about the

obligations of the university for campus safety? 1 2 Yes. 3 And did you -- when you spoke with Mr. Carter, did you understand him to be an authority in this area? Yes, that was my understanding. 5 6 And so you credited his conclusions and opinions as 7 expressed to you; is that correct? 8 Yes, I did. And you felt that that was verification of the depiction 9 10 of the university's obligations surrounding campus safety; is 11 that right? 12 Yes. Especially since we were quoting him by name and 13 giving his -- you know, his brief background, his title. 14 And, now, you've also mentioned that you spoke with Laura 15 Dunn; is that correct? 16 Yes, I did. 17 MS. McNAMARA: Let me have marked --MS. MOODY: Defendants' 70. 18 19 MS. McNAMARA: Thank you. 20 BY MS. McNAMARA: 21 Can you identify for the record what this e-mail chain 2.2 is? 23 Yes. This is just a quick e-mail chain between me and 24 Ms. Dunn. 25 Documenting that you had set up an interview with her?

1 Yes, we had. I don't believe we had any follow-up. 2 just had a brief phone call discussing the content of this 3 portion of the article. MS. McNAMARA: And I move the admission of this 4 5 document, Your Honor. THE COURT: 70, without objection. 6 7 (Defendants' Exhibit 70 admitted) BY MS. McNAMARA: 8 9 You also spoke with John Foubert; is that correct? 10 Yes, it is. 11 And did he also confirm background facts concerning UVa 12 and their practices surrounding campus safety? 13 Yes, he did. 14 And when you spoke with Ms. Dunn, did she confirm the 15 quote in the article about an alarming trend that she's seen 16 on campus? 17 You'd have to point me to --18 I want to direct your attention to the article that is at 19 RS1076. In the right-hand column, in the far -- the whole 20 first paragraph. 2.1 Yes. I wouldn't have -- like I said yesterday, I 22 wouldn't have read back probably the quote verbatim because we 23 try not to do that. But I discussed this -- I discussed 24 this -- the content of it with her. 25

And you made sure that the content of the quote was

```
1
     accurate, with Ms. Dunn; is that right?
 2
          Yes, I did.
 3
          And did you also confirm with Ms. Dunn at -- if you
     direct your attention to the article at 1079, where she's
 4
     quoted as saying, "'The fact that they already had the first
 5
 6
     victim, they should have been taking action,' says
 7
     SurvJustice's Laura Dunn. 'That school could really be
     sued."
 8
 9
          Do you see that?
10
          Yes.
11
          Did you confirm that with Ms. Dunn when you spoke with
12
    her?
13
          The content, yes.
14
          Now, back to Ms. Eramo. The article includes a number of
15
    positive things concerning Ms. Dunn. Is that fair to say?
16
          You mean Ms. Eramo?
17
          Yes. Thank you.
     Q
18
          Yes.
19
          Again, you have to keep me honest here.
20
          First, I'll direct your attention to 1076. In the
2.1
     left-hand column in the graph that begins, "If Dean Eramo was
22
     surprised at Jackie's story of gang rape, it didn't show."
23
          Is that right?
24
          Yes.
25
          And it indicates that "Eramo surely has among the most
```

```
difficult jobs at UVa."
 1
 2
          Is that correct?
 3
          Yes, it does.
          And then further down in that same paragraph it says, "A
 4
 5
     UVa alum herself, Eramo is beloved by survivors, who consider
    her a friend and confidante."
 6
 7
          Do you see that?
 8
          Yes, that was --
 9
          And -- I'm sorry.
10
          Oh, that was an opinion expressed by all the women at UVa
11
     that I spoke with.
12
          And that was going to be my question. When you spoke
     with Emily Renda and Sara Surface and Alex Pinkleton and
1.3
14
     Jackie, all these women had indicated that she was beloved and
15
     that they considered her a friend and confidante; is that
16
    right?
17
          Yes, definitely.
18
          And so you made sure that that was included in the
19
    article; is that correct?
20
          Yes.
21
          And did you see that these positive statements about
22
     Ms. Eramo being beloved and the like was inconsistent with the
23
    criticism concerning victims' choice?
24
          No.
25
          Why not?
```

1 Because they saw her as somebody who they could speak to, 2 and she was supportive of them no matter what they decided to 3 do. And does the article indicate that she was supportive of the victims? 5 6 Yes, it does. 7 And how does it indicate that she was supportive of the 8 victims? We say multiple times that she -- you know, I mean, these 9 10 are in the portions from Jackie, but we say that at every step 11 of the way, Eramo was there for her and said, "No matter what 12 your choice is, I'm here." 1.3 And after -- still on the page 1076, after the description or introduction of Jackie's recounting -- or 14 15 rather, strike that. 16 After the description of Dean Eramo that appears in the 17 paragraph that Dean Eramo was surprised, the next paragraph 18 begins, "When Jackie finished talking, Eramo comforted her and 19 then calmly laid out her options." 20 Is that correct? 2.1 Yes. 22 And that was meant to communicate that she was comforting 23 to Jackie. Is that fair to say? She was comforting and helpful, yes. 24 25

And she underscored at the end of that paragraph that

1 whatever happened next was entirely Jackie's choice; is that 2 right? 3 Yes. Turning your attention to page 1068. Actually, I think 4 5 it's 10 --1078? 6 7 78. Thank you. 8 Here -- and I believe we already touched on this, but the article in the left column towards the bottom specifically 9 10 credits Dean Eramo as having connected Emily -- connected 11 Jackie with Emily Renda, a fourth-year, who had become active 12 in One Less. 1.3 Do you see that? 14 Α Yes. 15 And I believe, when you were being questioned by 16 Mr. Phillips, there was a suggestion that you should have 17 identified or the article should have identified that Emily 18 Renda was now working for UVa. 19 Do you recall that? 20 Yes. 21 And in this paragraph, it was set at a time when Emily 22 was actually a fourth-year student; is that right? 23 Yes. We set it in the fall of 2013. Α 24 And so it would have been -- it wouldn't have been 25 accurate to identify her as an employee of UVa at the time

1 that Dean Eramo had connected with her, correct? 2 No. It was my understanding she did not become employed 3 by UVa until after she graduated. And in the middle column of the article on this same 4 5 page, 1078, there's a sentence that begins, "After feeling 6 isolated for more than a year, Jackie was astonished at how 7 much she and this sisterhood had in common, including the fact 8 that a surprising number hadn't pursued any form of complaint, although many had contacted Dean Eramo, whom they loved as 9 10 their best advocate and den mother. Jackie repeatedly calls 11 her an asset to the community. Few, however, filed reports 12 with UVa or with the police." 13 Do you see that? 14 Yes. 15 And the article, in describing One Less and Jackie's 16 interactions with One Less, it made it clear that the referral 17 by Dean Eramo, her referral to Emily Renda and Jackie's 18 resulting involvement with One Less, was of great significance 19 to Jackie; is that fair to say? 20 Yes, that was my understanding. 21 And the article makes clear that she was considered by 22 many of these survivors as their best advocate and den mother; 23 is that right? 24 Yes. 25 I think in the note that Mr. Phillips had drawn to your

1 attention yesterday, in an early draft of the article, you had 2 indicated that Jackie considered her as a second mother figure 3 or words to that effect. 4 Do you recall that? 5 Yes, I do. 6 And do you believe that the article captures that concept 7 in this paragraph? I thought "den mother" was a fair way to represent that 8 9 in the article. 10 And this is where -- we had talked about the fact that 11 Jackie had underscored that she wanted it to be clear that she 12 believed Dean Eramo was an asset to the community. This is 13 where you found to put it in the article; is that right? 14 Yeah. I believe yesterday I pointed to a place where we 15 had crossed that out and said that it's just because it didn't 16 fit there, like it wasn't -- it didn't read correctly. So 17 this is the place that, in working with Sean and Sabrina, we 18 thought that it -- it was appropriate to add this. So we did. 19 And I believe you indicated in your testimony yesterday 20 that, through the fact-checking process, you had spoken with 2.1 Sara Surface, correct? 22 Yes, I had. 23 And she had talked to you about and emphasized that she 24 believed that UVa was making some positive steps to address 25 sexual assault; is that right?

She had a lot of -- she had a lot of information 1 2 about the good things that were happening at UVa. So she and 3 I sat on the phone for a while, and she walked me through all 4 the positive changes she saw happening and that, you know, she 5 had helped to work on. And after, you know, talking to her for a while, then I 6 7 took all of that information and talked to Sabrina, and Sabrina then drafted out some additions to the article and we 8 9 put them in. Let me show you Defendants' Trial Exhibit 19. 10 11 Α Thank you. 12 Is this the e-mail exchange between you and Sean and 1.3 Sabrina about working out the language that you would add to 14 the article about the positive developments? 15 I believe Sabrina and I spoke on Monday morning 16 and kind of discussed what I thought was important to add to 17 the article to make it clear that UVa did have some positive 18 changes. And based on our conversations, Sabrina added these 19 sections. 20 And if you direct your attention, then, back to the 2.1 article on page 1074, in the middle column. It's the 22 paragraph that begins that "UVa president, Teresa Sullivan, 23 denies the administration sweeps sexual assault under the 24 rug." 25 Do you see that?

- 1 Yes. 2 So we included, first of all, the president's denial that 3 they were in any way turning a blind eye to sexual assault; is that correct? 4 5 Yes, we did. And then it proceeds to, is this where the information 6 7 was included that you had first obtained from Sara Surface and then worked out with Sabrina about some of the positive steps 8 being undertaken by UVa to address sexual assault? 9 10 Yes. 11 That it had hosted a first-ever sexual assault summit for 12 college administrators; is that right? 1.3 Yes. 14 And that it's true that recently, while under close 15 government scrutiny, the school had made some encouraging 16 changes, including designating most of UVa authority figures 17 as mandatory reporters of sexual assault and teaming up with student activists to create a bystander intervention campaign. 18 19 Do you see that? 20 Yes. 2.1 And this was the information that was not in the original 22 draft but was included after you spoke to Ms. Surface; is that 23 right? 24 Yes, it was.
- 25 Q And then immediately following that, it indicates that

1 "Students praise UVa deans as caring folks who answer 2 late-night calls from victims and even make emergency room 3 visits." Do you see that? 4 5 Yes. 6 And was that a fact that you confirmed with Anthony 7 de Bruyn at UVa? Yes, it is. And he confirmed that with me. 8 9 Now I want to direct your attention to the specific 10 statements that -- at issue in this litigation that the 11 plaintiff claims defames her from the article. Okay? 12 Yes, sure. 1.3 The first statement appears on page 1072 of the article 14 in the right-hand column. 15 Α 1072? 16 Right. Where it reads that "Two years later, Jackie, now 17 a third-year, is worried about what might happen to her once 18 this article comes out. Greek life is huge at UVa, with 19 nearly one-third of undergrads belonging to a fraternity or a 20 sorority. So Jackie fears the backlash could be big, a, 21 quote/unquote, shitshow predicted by her now former friend 22 Randall, who, citing loyalty to his frat, declined to be 23 interviewed. But her concerns go beyond taking on her alleged 24 assailants and the fraternity. Lots of people have 25 discouraged her from sharing her story, Jackie tells me with a

```
1
     pained look, including the trusted UVa dean to whom Jackie
 2
     reported her gang rape allegations more than a year ago. On
 3
     this deeply loyal campus, even some of Jackie's closest
     friends see her going public as tantamount to betrayal."
 4
          Do you see that paragraph?
 5
 6
          Yes, I do.
 7
          Now, first, there was a lot of discussion about
 8
     attribution. In the context of this paragraph, the fact that
 9
     the UVa dean had discouraged her from sharing her story is
     specifically attributed to Jackie, is it not?
10
11
          Yes. We say "Jackie tells me." So that indicated
12
     attribution to me.
1.3
          And what did you do to satisfy yourself that statement
14
     number one, this statement that I just read, was accurate at
15
     the time you did your fact-checking?
          Well, part of what I did was I spoke with Jackie about
16
17
     it, and she confirmed that to me. She told me that, yes,
18
     Dean Eramo had told her that maybe, you know, talking to
19
     Rolling Stone about her gang rape was not a great idea.
20
          And then when I spoke with some of the other women, Emily
21
     and Alex and -- Emily and Alex told me that they believed that
22
     to be true, and then they gave me some caveats for it. They
23
     said that maybe it was because they were worried about --
24
     maybe Dean Eramo was worried about that this could affect
25
     future proceedings.
```

```
So I took that to be confirmation, though I did note that
 1
 2
     perhaps we wanted to include some of those. But it did not to
 3
     me mean that this was not a true statement.
          And in the context of --
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Let's make no mistake about it.
 6
     only way you knew what was said between Dean Eramo and Jackie
 7
     is what Jackie told you?
               THE WITNESS: Yes, that's true.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: The only way?
10
               THE WITNESS: That's the only way I could know for
11
     sure, yes.
12
               THE COURT: Okay.
1.3
     BY MS. MCNAMARA:
14
          But you understood from speaking with some of Jackie's
15
     friends that they understood that Jackie was being discouraged
     from sharing her story with Rolling Stone; is that right?
16
17
          Yes, that was my understanding.
18
          And in the context of this statement, did you understand
19
     that, or were you intending for the article to report that
20
     Dean Eramo was discouraging Jackie from pursuing her sexual
     assault allegations before the police or the sexual misconduct
2.1
2.2
    board?
23
          Definitely not. I thought we made it very clear
24
     throughout the article that Dean Eramo was going to stand
25
     behind Jackie, whatever she decided.
```

1 And the article makes clear that Dean Eramo would assist 2 her if she wanted to pursue her sexual assault allegations; is 3 that correct? 4 Yes. 5 And was assistance consistent with discouraging her from 6 reporting, in your mind? 7 Α No. 8 And in the context of this particular section of the article, did you understand the discouraging her from sharing 9 10 her story to mean sharing her story with a national magazine? 11 Is that right? 12 Yes, that was absolutely my understanding. 1.3 And why did you believe that? 14 Because the paragraph starts talking about how Jackie is 15 worried what might happen to her once this article comes out. 16 So I took that topic sentence to mean that we were looking at 17 her fears specifically about publishing her account in Rolling 18 Stone. And the end, we say that "On this deeply loyal campus, 19 even some of Jackie's closest friends see her going public as 20 tantamount to betrayal." 2.1 So I thought this kind of bookended this idea that this 22 paragraph in particular was talking about Jackie talking with 23 Rolling Stone. 24 And you had -- we've already gone over it, but you had 25 the text messages from Maddie and other communications that

1 led you to conclude that this was not an unusual sentiment; is 2 that right? That people were uncomfortable with a student 3 talking to a national magazine and making -- possibly making UVa look bad? 4 No. And in my experience, any community is generally 5 discouraging of people who might have critical things to say 6 7 about it going public to a national magazine. And that's made clear at the beginning of the next 8 9 paragraph after this quote; isn't that right? 10 Yes. 11 Where Jackie is saying, "One of my roommates said, 'Do you want to be responsible for something that's going to paint 12 1.3 UVa in a bad light?'" That's true. 14 15 And what was going to possibly paint UVa in a bad light was the article; is that right? 16 17 Yes, that was my understanding. So when the article says "discouraging her from sharing 18 19 her story," you understood or you intended that that refer to Jackie talking to a national magazine? 20 2.1 Yes. 22 And at the time you completed your fact-checking, with regard to this paragraph and specifically this statement about 23 24 lots of people having discouraged her from sharing her story,

did you believe that to be accurate?

25

Yes, I did. 1 2 Did you have any doubt whatsoever about the accuracy of 3 that information? 4 No, I did not. 5 Now, turning your attention to the rape school quote. And that appears at RS1077, in the left column, the sentence 6 7 that begins "Like most colleges." The article read, "Like most colleges, sexual assault 8 9 proceedings at UVa unfold in total secrecy." 10 And I'll pause there for a second. Back on the 11 discouraging comment, since you understood that sexual assault 12 proceedings proceeded in secrecy, would it make sense that you 1.3 would describe her going forward or being discouraged to go 14 forward with her sexual assault allegations as something that 15 would be public? 16 No. 17 So that was -- this portion of the article would be 18 inconsistent with the statement or the contention by Ms. Eramo 19 that the discouraging from telling her story actually 20 referenced discouraging her from proceeding with a report 21 either to the police or to the sexual misconduct board; is 22 that right? 23 Α Yes, that's true. 24 And then this paragraph goes on to say, "Asked why UVa 25 doesn't publish all its data, President Sullivan explains that

it might not be in keeping with best practices and thus may 1 2 inadvertently discourage reporting. Jackie got a different 3 explanation when she eventually asked Dean Eramo the same question. She says Eramo answered wryly, 'Because nobody 4 5 wants to send their daughter to the rape school."" 6 Do you see that? 7 Α Yes. 8 And did Jackie tell you that? 9 Yes. 10 Did you ask her specifically that quote, or how did it 11 come up? 12 We were having a conversation about her kind of 1.3 going through the -- the statistics and things that she found 14 on the website and her experience in doing research on her own 15 after meeting with Dean Eramo. And then we had a conversation about -- you know, I asked her about this -- this meeting and, 16 17 you know, what happened when she asked Dean Eramo about why 18 these things weren't easily available for her on the website. 19 And Jackie volunteered this quote to me. 20 And were you aware during your fact-checking process that 21 Jackie had told Sabrina this quote on two separate occasions? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 And you were also aware, as we've established, that 24 Jackie loved Dean Eramo; is that right? 25 Very much.

1 Did you have any understanding that she would try to 2 malign Dean Eramo in some way? 3 Not at all. She repeatedly told me how much she cared for her and what a good -- what a good person she had been to 5 her at UVa, what an important person she had been to her at 6 UVa. 7 And from the "boys have all graduated" quote that you were able to independently confirm with Alex Pinkleton, did 8 9 you have any understanding as to whether you could trust 10 Jackie to accurately quote Dean Eramo? 11 Α Yes, I did. 12 And what was that understanding? 1.3 It was that she was able to accurately tell us about 14 these meetings that she'd had with Dean Eramo. And I felt 15 comfortable moving forward with this quote even though nobody 16 else was in that room with her other than Dean Eramo, who I 17 wasn't able to talk to. So nobody else was in that room with 18 her to confirm that to me. 19 And I was also comfortable because we made it very clear 20 that this was coming specifically from Jackie. 2.1 What about the substance of the quote? When you check a 22 quote from a source and you only have it from one person, do 23 you try to assess the accuracy of the content of the quote? Even if I have it from multiple people, I try to assess 24

the accuracy of the content of the quote.

25

- 1 | Q And here the substance of the rape school quote was that
- 2 UVa's sexual assault statistics were hard to find. That was
- 3 | part of it, wasn't it?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And the other component of the substance of the quote was
- 6 that, by the fact that it wasn't widely available, parents
- 7 | would feel that the school was safe?
- 8 A Yes. And we had Susan Russell, one of the -- the parent
- 9 of the one we called Stacy, saying effectively the same thing
- 10 on the record.
- 11 Q And directing your attention to the prior page of the
- 12 article at the bottom of 1076.
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 | Q And Susan Russell, you understood, was the parent of the
- 15 student at UVa who was told to keep quiet about the hearing
- 16 and the proceedings before the sexual misconduct board; is
- 17 | that right?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 O And the one that was found to be in violation of the
- 20 Clery Act; is that correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And read the quote or the passage from Susan Russell that
- 23 appears at the bottom of 1076.
- 24 A "When a parent goes to the campus crime log and they
- 25 | don't see 'sexual assault,' they think the school is safe."

- 1 | Q And before that, it had indicated that "UVa parent Susan
- 2 Russell believes that misdirection is deliberate"?
- 3 A Yes. And I made sure in the drafting of this section
- 4 | that we made it very clear that that was coming from Susan
- 5 Russell.
- 6 Q And you -- did you -- in your fact-checking process in
- 7 | assessing the accuracy of the rape school quote, did you find
- 8 | this quote from Susan Russell to be consistent with the
- 9 content of that quote?
- 10 A Yeah, I found them to be substantively the same.
- 11 | Q And you yourself had tried to find statistics on UVa's
- 12 | website; is that right?
- 13 A I had, Sabrina had, and we were not able to.
- 14 | O And it was difficult. So the fact that statistics were
- 15 | hard to come by surrounding sexual assault and the number of
- 16 assaults was something that you independently verified; is
- 17 | that right?
- 18 A Yes, absolutely.
- 19 Q And you also had from President Sullivan, she confirmed
- 20 that statistics are not widely reported; is that right?
- 21 A Yes. And I confirmed that with UVa PR as well.
- 22 Q And she had explained -- she had an explanation for it,
- 23 which we put into the article; is that right?
- 24 A Yes, we did.
- 25 Q And her explanation was that not publishing all its data

explains that -- Sullivan explained that "it might not be in 1 2 keeping with best practice and thus inadvertently discourage 3 reporting." Do you see that? 4 5 Yes. 6 And did you find that to be also corroborative of the 7 substance of the rape school quote? 8 And we have it right there in the same paragraph. So at the time you signed off on the article and its 9 10 inclusion of the quote from Jackie about the rape school, did 11 you believe that it was an accurate statement? 12 Yes, I did. 1.3 And in that quote, when it's used, we specifically 14 attribute it -- or the magazine specifically attributes it to 15 Jackie, does it not? 16 Yes, absolutely. 17 It says, "She says, 'Eramo answered wryly'"; is that 18 right? 19 Α Yes. 20 Did you have any doubts whatsoever, when the article went 21 to press, that that was an accurate statement from Dean Eramo? 2.2 Α No. 23 Let's turn to statement Number 3. THE COURT: Ms. McNamara, I think the jury wants a 24 25 second break before we take up the next statement. So let's

```
do that.
 1
 2
               Ladies and gentlemen, I'll ask that while you're
 3
     away from the court you not discuss the case with one another,
     do not permit anyone to discuss it with you. I'll ask the
 4
     witness not to discuss her pending testimony with counsel from
 5
     either side.
 6
 7
               Let's plan to return at 25 after the hour.
 8
               Ask the marshal to declare court in recess.
 9
     (Recess)
10
     (Jury in)
11
     (Open court as follows:)
12
               THE COURT: Well, I see ten jurors back, seated in
1.3
     the jury box, ready for the last leg of this morning's
14
     session.
15
               We're ready for the continuation of the
     cross-examination of Ms. Garber-Paul.
16
17
               MS. McNAMARA: Thank you, Your Honor.
     BY MS. McNAMARA:
18
19
          Ms. Garber-Paul, right before the break, we were going
20
     through the statements that are at issue in this litigation.
21
          Do you remember that?
22
          Yes.
23
          And I want to turn your attention to the third statement
24
     that appears at RS1078.
25
          And, specifically, it's the second paragraph that follows
```

1 immediately after Jackie reporting to Dean Eramo that she had 2 learned of two other women who had similar sexual assault 3 allegations at Phi Psi. Do you see that? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 And it reads, "Because" -- excuse me. 7 It reads, "As Jackie wrapped up her story, she was 8 disappointed by Eramo's nonreaction. She'd expected shock, disgust, horror." 9 10 Do you see that? 11 Α Yes. And this paragraph -- the paragraph that precedes it in 12 1.3 this particular statement, it was clearly attributed to 14 Jackie; is that correct? 15 That was my understanding. 16 What did you do to fact-check this statement? 17 Well, primarily, I went through -- just as far as the, 18 you know, interaction between Jackie and Dean Eramo, I went 19 through Sabrina's notes and I went through it with Jackie. 20 And it was my understanding, as we set out here, that, 21 you know, when she was talking to her friends about these new 22 allegations that these girls had told her in confidence, that, 23 you know, they're shocked and disgusted and horrified by it. 24 They're young women who have, you know, lots of real emotions 25 that come out.

1 And when she went to Dean Eramo's office, Dean Eramo did 2 not have the same reaction as a 19- or 20-year-old woman, 3 which it seemed like Jackie interpreted as a nonreaction. But I interpreted it as this same kind of professional, 4 no-nonsense approach to dealing with, you know, women who come 5 forward saying that they have been victims of sexual assault. 6 7 Did you see that as a professional response by Dean Eramo, as depicted here? 8 9 Yes. I saw that as -- I would not expect a dean at a 10 university to come out expressing shock, horror, and disgust 11 when interacting with a student. 12 And the no-nonsense demeanor of Dean Eramo, you've 1.3 referred to that a number of times. And I believe you've 14 already indicated that you independently corroborated that? 15 I did, by watching the WUVA video. And we've already established that you didn't feel that 16 17 you were able to specifically ask Dean Eramo whether she had this reaction; is that right? 18 19 Yes, that's true, given that this was specifically about 20 a meeting between Jackie and Dean Eramo. 2.1 And are you aware, Ms. Garber-Paul, in this lawsuit that 22 the plaintiff claims that this statement conveys the message 23 that she took no action in response to Jackie's allegations? I'm aware of that, yes. 24

And was that your understanding of the passage at the

25

1 time you fact-checked the article? 2 No, that was not my understanding. 3 Was it your intent to convey to readers that Dean Eramo specifically took no action in response to Jackie's 4 5 allegations? 6 No. 7 And was it your understanding that the article conveyed 8 that impression? That was not my understanding of what the article was 9 10 saying, no. 11 Did you understand "nonreaction" to refer to Ms. Eramo's 12 emotional response? 1.3 Yes. And this same paragraph indicates toward the end that 14 15 "for a whole year, I thought about how he had ruined my life 16 and how he was the worst human being, and then I saw him and I 17 couldn't say" -- moving down. I want to go further. I'm 18 sorry. I've got the wrong one. 19 Uh-huh. Α 20 At the end of the paragraph it begins, "You look 21 different"? 2.2 Yes. 23 It says, "Because, as she miserably reminded Eramo in her 24 office, she didn't feel ready to file a complaint. Eramo, as 25 always, understood."

1 Do you see that passage? 2 Yes. 3 And so did you understand in context it was made clear that it was Jackie, not Dean Eramo, who was deciding to take 4 5 no action? Is that right? Yes. I thought we made it clear throughout that it was 6 7 always Jackie who decided to not move forward, and Dean Eramo 8 was going to be supportive no matter what her decision was. 9 And at the time you signed off on this passage in the 10 course of your fact-checking of the article, did you believe 11 this statement to be accurate? 12 Yes, I did. 1.3 Did you have any doubt whatsoever about its accuracy? 14 No, I did not. 15 Now, turning your attention to statement Number 4, which 16 appears at 1078 to 79 of the article, it starts with, "Given 17 the swirl of gang rape allegations Eramo had now heard against 18 one of UVa's oldest and most powerful fraternities" -- and 19 then it goes on -- "the school may have wondered about its 20 responsibilities to the rest of the campus. Experts apprized 21 of the situation by RS agree that, despite the absence of an 22 official report, Jackie's passing along two other allegations 23 should compel the school to take action out of regard for campus safety." 24 25 Yes.

- 1 Q And, now, this particular passage is not attributed to
- 2 Jackie; is that right?
- 3 A No, it's not.
- 4 Q And did you understand Jackie to be a source for the
- 5 | observation that the school may have wondered about its
- 6 responsibilities to the rest of the campus?
- 7 A I did not believe that Jackie was being cited here as an
- 8 expert, no.
- 9 Q But you did -- and I believe we've already covered this,
- 10 | so we won't retread it -- but you spoke to both Ms. Dunn and
- 11 Mr. Carter to confirm this statement; is that right?
- 12 A Yes. To confirm the substance of the statement, yes.
- 13 Q And did you do anything to check the underlying accuracy
- of the fact that the school was only now, in the fall of 2014,
- 15 | taking any -- taking action to investigate Jackie's
- 16 allegations?
- 17 A Yes. We became aware of that through the University of
- 18 Virginia, I believe.
- 19 O And how did you verify that? How did you become aware?
- 20 A I would have to look at my notes, actually.
- 21 Q Well, to move things along, is it correct that President
- 22 | Sullivan, in that interview -- you looked at that transcript;
- 23 is that right?
- 24 A Yes, I did.
- 25 Q And in that transcript, she had confirmed that the school

1 had started its investigation just a few weeks ago; is that 2 right? 3 Yes, that's true. And that interview had taken place in September of 2014? 4 5 Yes. And you were also able to verify that Phi Psi had been 6 7 contacted for the first time in mid-September of 2014; is that 8 right? 9 Yes, that's true. 10 And you also confirmed, did you not, that UVa never 11 issued a Clery warning; is that right? 12 Yes, it did. 13 How did you confirm that? 14 I confirmed that with UVa. 15 And did you also look on the websites for Clery warnings, or did you try to find it independently there? 16 17 Yes. I was not able to find anything. 18 And how did you understand the take action, what that 19 meant in the context of that statement? 20 To issue a warning. 21 And/or to do an investigation? Did you understand that 22 as well? 23 Α Yes. 24 And you're aware, are you not -- I believe you addressed

that one of the -- you've already indicated that one of the

25

1 themes of the article was that the school was not taking with 2 sufficient seriousness the safety of the community in 3 addressing these allegations; is that right? Yes. I believe that was a major point of the article. 4 5 And that's repeated several times in the article, is it 6 not? 7 Yes, it is. In fact, this wording that appears in this statement on 8 1079 is the third time that it had been said in the article in 9 10 words substantively similar to here; is that right? 11 Α Yes. 12 I direct your attention to page 1077. Do you see right 1.3 before the quotes from the Rugby Road song, it says, "In the meantime, having presumably judged there to be no threat to 14 15 public safety, the UVa administration took no action to warn 16 the campus that an allegation of gang rape had been made 17 against an active fraternity." 18 Yes, that's true. 19 Do you see that "no action" is defined in that sentence? 20 Yes. It seems to be defined as a warning to the campus. 2.1 And on page 1078, in the context of discussing One Less 22 in the middle column, do you see the passage where it says, 23 "So profound was the students' faith in its administration 24 that, although they were appalled by Jackie's story, no one 25 voiced questions about UVa's strategy of doing nothing to warn

the campus of gang rape allegations against a fraternity that 1 2 still held parties and was rushing a new pledge class." 3 Do you see that? 4 Yes. 5 And was "doing nothing" defined in that sentence? "Doing nothing" here is defined as, again, warning the 6 7 campus. And so when you were addressing the accuracy of the 8 statement on page 1078, which is the third iteration of this 9 10 idea about taking no action, did you understand what -- how a 11 reader would interpret "taking no action"? 12 MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, Your Honor. She can't 1.3 testify as to how a reader would understand it. 14 THE COURT: I think it's a fair question. 15 can answer it, I'll have her do so. 16 THE WITNESS: It was my reading of it. 17 You're right. I can't speak to what a reader might 18 interpret. 19 But it was my reading of it, given that we'd said 20 substantively the same thing three times, and defined it the 2.1 first two, that a reasonable person could figure out that what we were discussing here was issuing a warning to campus. 2.2 23 BY MS. McNAMARA: 24 And at the time you signed off on this passage, when you 25 completed your fact-checking process, did you believe it to be

```
1
     accurate?
 2
          Yes, I did.
 3
          Did you have any doubt whatsoever about the accuracy of
     this information at the time you signed off and the article
 5
     was published?
          Not at all.
 6
 7
          Now, are you familiar with the Columbia Journalism
     Report?
 8
 9
     Α
          Yes, I am.
10
          And I assume you've read it?
11
     Α
          Yes, I have.
          It was a little painful; is that fair to say?
12
13
          That would be fair, yes.
          I want to, if we could, put up on the screen Plaintiff's
14
15
     Exhibit 139 on page 7.
16
               MS. SCHARY: I believe it's actually in here.
17
               THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm here.
     BY MS. McNAMARA:
18
19
          Do you see the last paragraph on this page, where it
20
     indicates that "Erdely believed firmly that Jackie's account
2.1
     was reliable, and so did her editors and the story's
22
     fact-checker, who spent more than four hours on the phone with
23
     Jackie reviewing every detail of her experience. 'She wasn't
     just answering yes, yes, yes; she was correcting me,' the
24
25
     checker said. 'She was describing the scene for me in a very
```

vivid way. I did not have doubt."" 1 2 Is that a quote from you, Ms. Garber-Paul? 3 Α Yes, it is. And you had cooperated with the Columbia Journalism 4 Review in its analysis of the reporting of this article; is 5 6 that right? 7 Yes. I had sat in for a long interview with Sheila Coronel and Derek Kravitz for this. 8 And did you agree with the conclusion of the Columbia 9 10 Journalism Review in its findings that you believed firmly in 11 Jackie's account? 12 Yes, I did. 1.3 And that you believed firmly that the account was 14 reliable? You agreed with the Columbia Journalism Review 15 findings to that effect; is that right? 16 Yes, I did. 17 Now, there were some questions about the photo 18 illustration that appears in the article; is that right? 19 Yes. I believe there were. 20 Do you have anything to do with the assigning or 2.1 development of photo illustrations? 2.2 No, I don't. 23 But you did review this illustration and had a comment; 24 is that right? 25 Yes, that's true.

1 And I know you've mentioned this yesterday, but the 2 comment was, you know, "Is this too mean"? 3 Α Yes. I wrote that. And tell us how you resolved that question. 4 Well, I -- there were a couple of things. Let me turn to 5 this so I can look at it. 6 7 When I first reviewed my type final -- so the 8 almost-final copy -- which is when photo illustrations which are being worked out by different departments are finally 9 10 placed in for everybody to see, I looked at this, and I 11 thought that it -- that people might look at it and think that 12 Dean Eramo was turning her back on the -- the victim 1.3 advocate's protest that seems to be happening outside her 14 window. 15 I took this to kind of be an illustration of the Take 16 Back the Night type protest that we discuss in the article. 17 was worried that -- you know, I thought her having her back to 18 it, I thought it might look like she was dismissive of it or 19 nonsupportive of it. But in looking at this and kind of --20 that was my initial reaction, going through, looking at 2.1 everything, kind of jotting it down. In sitting there and looking at it more, and in talking 22 23 to Sean about it as well, I changed my mind and decided that 24 it was fair because it was simply trying to incorporate 25 multiple themes, multiple themes from the article, into one

1 illustration. So we're showing Dean Eramo counseling a young 2 student who seems to be going to her, and Dean Eramo looks 3 like she's comforting her and spending time with her, and not -- so she's spending time with her. And then also, in 4 addition to, there's this protest that's happening outside. 5 And I thought we made it very clear in the article that 6 7 Dean Eramo is supportive of these kinds of protests and these 8 kinds of, you know, activist organizing things. So between that and the caption making it explicitly clear that she's 9 10 beloved by students, I decided that I was very comfortable 11 with this. 12 And my initial reaction to this was different than my 1.3 considered reaction to this. So that's why I signed off on 14 it. 15 And as a result of your communications with Sean 16 concerning this, the caption was changed; is that right? 17 Yes, we changed the caption. 18 And a change that was made was that it now incorporated 19 that Dean Eramo was beloved by students; is that right? 20 Yes. 2.1 And the protest imagery that's included in the 22 illustration, you understood those to be protests surrounding 23 Take Back the Night; is that right? 24 I took that to be somewhat, for lack of a better 25 word, generic, antirape kinds of campus protests that happen

```
1
     across the country.
 2
          It wasn't a protest that was being depicted as protesting
 3
     UVa per se, was it?
 4
          No.
 5
               MR. PHILLIPS: Objection, Your Honor. I haven't
 6
     said much about leading -- and I know Your Honor's view on
 7
     that -- but at times here, Ms. McNamara really seems to be
 8
     testifying for the witness. I mean, I don't know if I want
     her asking Ms. Garber-Paul's interpretation of this; but she's
 9
10
     explaining her interpretation and then asking Ms. Garber-Paul
11
     to agree with that.
12
               THE COURT: It is a leading question, but don't you
1.3
     think it's a little late now to start complaining?
14
               MR. PHILLIPS: Fair enough, Your Honor. I thought
15
     that one was even a bit over the top by today's standards.
16
     BY MS. McNAMARA:
17
          There's been testimony here that the image portrays
     Dean Eramo as a devil. Do you agree with that conclusion?
18
19
          No. I don't believe that's true.
20
          Now, Ms. Eramo also contends that the article overall
21
     creates an implication. Are you -- a false implication.
2.2
          Are you aware of that?
23
    Α
          Yes, I am.
24
          And the implication that she has identified is that the
25
     article implies "she was a false friend to Jackie, pretending
```

- 1 to be on her side, at the same time discouraging Jackie from 2 pursuing a formal complaint or police investigation regarding 3 her rape allegations in order to suppress the assault and protect the university's reputation"? 4 5 Yes. 6 Do you agree or was it your intent that the article 7 portray that implication? 8 No. 9 And let's break it down. 10 How do you believe -- or do you think that the article 11 does, in fact, depict Ms. Eramo as a false friend? 12 No. I believe it depicts her as a real friend to these 13 people. 14 And why? What do you use to reach that conclusion? 15 She sits down with them on multiple occasions. 16 offers her -- she offers to be there for them no matter what 17 they decide to do. She's not pushing them to do one thing or 18 another. She's offering them options. She's telling what she can. She's offering them support. 19 20 And do you believe that the article depicts that 2.1 Ms. Eramo was discouraging Jackie from pursuing a formal 22 complaint or a police investigation regarding her rape 23 allegations? 24 No, I don't believe it does.
- Q And, in fact, as we've discussed, the article makes clear

1 that she would assist her if she wanted to pursue an 2 investigation; isn't that right? 3 Yes. We -- I believe it makes it clear that no matter what Jackie wanted to do, Dean Eramo was going to be 4 5 supportive of her decision. At the time you completed your fact-checking process of 6 7 this article, did you intend an implication to be created that Dean Eramo was discouraging Jackie from reporting her 8 9 allegations? 10 No, absolutely not. 11 Do you believe that anything in the article endorses that 12 implication? 1.3 No, I don't believe it does. In fact-checking the article, did you believe that it 14 15 depicted Ms. Eramo as discouraging Jackie from moving forward in order to protect UVa's reputation? 16 17 Α No. 18 Did you see anything in the article that supported that 19 conclusion? 20 No, I did not. 2.1 And let's -- I think when Mr. Phillips was asking you, he directed your attention to the "critics say" statement. 22 23 Α Yes. 24 And I believe that appears at 1073 of the article.

And when you were originally speaking with Jackie, you

25

1 had written a note on that passage with Jackie saying, "not 2 Dean Eramo." 3 Do you recall that? 4 Yes, we did. 5 And did -- and you didn't make a change in this passage; 6 is that right? 7 No, I did not. Α Do you -- what did you understand this passage to be 8 9 discussing, in context? Well, I had asked Jackie's opinion on this passage, just 10 11 because I was interested in her opinion, and I made a note of 12 it. But I understood this passage to be referring to the 1.3 critics of the administration at UVa, not current students who 14 were supportive of it. 15 And immediately following that passage, does the article proceed to recount the observations of the number of critics? 16 17 Yes. We have specifically two critics on the record, 18 both Liz Seccuro and S. Daniel Carter, speaking and being 19 quoted on their specific criticisms. 20 And when you turn to the next page, the article also 2.1 quotes Susan Russell, does it not? 22 Yes, it does. 23 And did you consider her to be a critic of the 24 administration? 25 Yes, I did.

1 And, specifically, what was she being quoted here on page 2 1074 in the left column? 3 She was -- she believed that there was not enough being done to -- to punish people who had perhaps raped someone. 5 And could you read her quote. It begins "Think about 6 it." 7 Sure. "'Think about it,' says Susan Russell, whose UVa daughter's sexual assault report helped trigger a previous 8 federal investigation. 'In what world do you get kicked out 9 10 for cheating, but if you rape someone, you can stay?'" 11 And I believe before -- and I think this got cleared up, 12 but I want to make sure the record is clear. You didn't 13 understand that Susan Russell was Stacy's mother --14 No. 15 -- is that correct? 16 No. No, that's true. She was not. She was one of the 17 other rape victim's mothers. 18 And then in the next paragraph there's another critic that's quoted in the article, is there not? 19 20 In the next paragraph there's a Wendy Murphy. 2.1 And she's quoted as saying, "These schools love to 22 pretend they protect children as if they were their own, but 23 that's not true. They're interested in money." 24 Do you see that? 25 That's true.

1 You understood that that was another example of the 2 critics who were questioning that the administration was less 3 concerned with protecting students than it is with protecting its own reputation from scandal? 4 5 Yes. And I was comfortable with this, because then 6 immediately after that, we go into the UVa president, Teresa 7 Sullivan, then giving her viewpoint of it. 8 Right. That's where she's quoted as saying that the 9 administration does not sweep sexual assault under the rug; is 10 that correct? 11 Α Yes. 12 Now, Ms. Eramo has contended a number of allegations with 1.3 regard to post-publication statements on podcasts and the 14 like. 15 Are you aware of that? 16 I'm aware of those, yes. 17 Did you have anything to do with any of those 18 post-publication statements? 19 No, I didn't. Α 20 Did you fact-check them? 21 Α No, I did not. 22 So back on the "critics say," your notation about, "yes, 23 but not about Dean Eramo," you didn't see that in conflict with the "critics say" statement? 24

I did not see Jackie to be a critic of the

25

No.

administration. 1 2 Now, you've also indicated that the reference to syphilis 3 was removed from the article; is that right? Yes, that's true. 4 5 Do you know or have any knowledge about whether medical records were obtained from Jackie to confirm that? 6 7 Α I have not seen them. And did you feel that that was an important piece of 8 9 documentation you needed? 10 No, I did not. 11 Did you confirm that fact directly with Jackie? 12 I did. She confirmed it with me. She told me that she 13 believed it had come during the course of the sexual assault, 14 and she asked that we remove it. And I said I thought that 15 would be okav. 16 I, you know, checked with Sabrina and Sean to make sure 17 that we weren't -- you know, because I check all of my 18 questions with them. 19 But, yeah, I did not see it -- I was not at that point 20 trying to revet this individual, and I was not trying to come 21 up with, you know, new corroborating documents that -- for 22 facts that weren't going to be included in the story. 23 And do you recall Mr. Phillips asked you about the fact 24 that the reference to Brian Head did not include the fact that 25 he was the president of One in Four? Do you recall that?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And at the time that Mr. Head is quoted in the article,
- 3 had One in Four been introduced into the article?
- 4 A No, it had not.
- 5 Q And so from an editorial perspective, would it have made
- 6 sense to include the observation that he was the president of
- 7 One in Four?
- 8 A No. In our opinion, it did not.
- 9 Q And why not?
- 10 A Because we would have had to introduce an entirely new
- 11 section of the story in a place where it didn't make sense.
- 12 And also, what he was speaking to, we figured he was an
- 13 | authority on that just as a student of UVa, not necessarily as
- 14 a president of this organization.
- 15 Q And what did you understand him to be speaking to in the
- 16 quote?
- 17 A He was speaking -- sorry.
- 18 Q No. Go ahead.
- 19 A He was speaking to campus culture.
- 20 Q And is that true as well for the identification of Sara
- 21 Surface and Alex Pinkleton?
- 22 A Yes, that's true.
- 23 Q So at the time they were quoted, their affiliation -- or
- 24 | the introduction of One Less and what that organization was on
- 25 | campus had not been introduced to the article; is that right?

- 1 A Yes. We had a whole section about it, but that was a
- 2 little bit later in the article.
- 3 Q Now, in closing, Ms. Garber-Paul -- you'll be happy to
- 4 hear -- we've established that you spent a lot of hours going
- 5 over this article; is that right?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And you felt that you had pulled a lot of threads and
- 8 that you had looked at a lot of documents and spoken to a
- 9 | number of people; is that right?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And you -- at the time you were dealing with this
- 12 | article, you had no animus against the plaintiff, Nicole
- 13 | Eramo; is that right?
- 14 A Absolutely not.
- 15 | O You had never met her; is that correct?
- 16 A No, I had not.
- 17 Q And you had no animus against the University of Virginia,
- 18 did you?
- 19 A No, I did not.
- 20 Q So at the time you completed your fact-checking process,
- 21 did you believe -- as we established at the outset, but to
- 22 | reestablish, did you believe that everything in the article
- 23 | was accurate?
- 24 A Yes, I believed everything in the article to be
- 25 absolutely accurate.

1 And that included any and all references to Dean Eramo; 2 is that right? 3 Yes, that's correct. And you -- at the time you published this article, you 4 5 had no doubts whatsoever about its accuracy. Is that fair to 6 say? 7 Yes, that's fair. And given your level of certitude, is that why you were 8 9 so upset and shocked on December 5 when you became aware that 10 Sabrina had lost faith in Jackie? 11 I was -- I was initially shocked and, over the course of 12 that day and that weekend, just became heartsick and 1.3 devastated. 14 MS. McNAMARA: Thank you. I appreciate your time. 15 THE COURT: How long, Mr. Phillips, for redirect? 16 MR. PHILLIPS: Maybe an hour. 17 THE COURT: Let's go ahead and have our lunch break. 18 Ladies and gentlemen, the plaintiff is entitled to redirect examination of this witness, and you've heard counsel 19 20 say that it will take about an hour. So this is probably a 2.1 good time for us to break for lunch. 22 I would ask that, while you're away from the court, 23 you not discuss the case with one another, do not permit 24 anyone to discuss it with you. 25 I'll ask the witness not to discuss her pending

```
1
     testimony with counsel from either side.
 2
               Let's plan to return at 15 after 1.
 3
               Ask the marshal to declare court in recess for
     lunch.
 4
 5
     (Lunch recess)
 6
 7
                          CERTIFICATE
 8
          I, JoRita B. Meyer, certify that the foregoing is a
 9
     correct transcript from the record of proceedings in
10
     the above-entitled matter.
11
     /s/ JoRita B. Meyer
                                                 Date: 10/25/16
12
1.3
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```